See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/46482592

Predictive modelling of seabed habitats: Case study of subtidal kelp forests on the coast of Brittany, France

Article in Marine Biology · July 2010

DOI: 10.1007/s00227-010-1426-4 · Source: OAI

ITATIONS		READS			
autho	re including	50			
autrio	rs, including:				
	Vona Méléder		Populus Jacques		
Y	University of Nantes	T	Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer		
	70 PUBLICATIONS 931 CITATIONS		13 PUBLICATIONS 350 CITATIONS		
	SEE FRUFILE		SEE PROFILE		
	Pascal Mouquet				
5	Institute of Research for Development				
	23 PUBLICATIONS 104 CITATIONS				
	SEE PROFILE				
Some of	f the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:				
	DIO TIDE View preiest				
Project	BIO-TIDE VIEW project				

MICMAC - Role of MICroalgal epiphytic biofilm in the response of MACrophytes to herbicides: development of a simplified plant-biofilm interaction model View project

The original publication is available at http://www.springerlink.com

Predictive modelling of seabed habitats: case study of subtidal kelp forests on the coast of Brittany, France

Vona Méléder^{1, 3, *}, Jacques Populus¹, Brigitte Guillaumont¹, Thierry Perrot² and Pascal Mouquet²

¹ Ifremer, Dyneco/AG, BP 70, 29280 Plouzané, France

² CEVA, BP 3, L'Armor-Pleubian, 22610 Pleubian, France

³ Present address: Nantes Atlantique Université, Mer Molécule Santé/EA 21 60, BP 92208, 44322 Nantes cedex 3, France

*: Corresponding author : Vona Méléder, email address : vona.meleder@univ-nantes.fr

Abstract:

Predictive modelling to map subtidal communities is an alternative to "traditional" methods, such as direct sampling, remote sensing and acoustic survey, which are neither time- nor cost-effective for vast expanses. The principle of this modelling is the use of a combination of environmental key parameters to produce rules to understand species distribution and hence generate predictive maps. This study focuses on subtidal kelp forests (KF) on the coast of Brittany, France. The most significant key parameters to predict KF frequency are (1) the nature of the substrate, (2) depth, (3) water transparency, (4) water surface temperature and (5) hydrodynamics associated with the flexibility of algae in a flow. All these parameters are integrated in a spatial model, built using a Geographical Information System. This model results in a KF frequency map, where sites with optimum key parameters show a deeper limit of disappearance. After validation, the model is used in the context of Climate Change to estimate the effect of environmental variation on this depth limit of KF. Thus, the effects of both an increase in water temperature and a decrease in its transparency could lead to the complete disappearance of KF.

38 INTRODUCTION

39 Traditionally, marine ecologists have used the direct sampling method to characterise 40 shallow water and intertidal marine habitats. However, this method is neither time-41 nor cost effective for expanses from a regional to a global scale. Remote sensing 42 tools, such as aerial photography, airborne and satellite imagery, are appropriate for 43 surveying and classifying marine habitats in the intertidal zone (Guillaumont et al. 44 1993; Bajjouk et al. 1996; Guillaumont et al. 1997; Méléder et al. 2003; Combe et al. 45 2005). However, these tools rapidly reach their limits for subtidal surveys because of the absorption of visible radiations by water. Both single-beam and sidescan acoustic 46 47 methods are suitable to overcome this limitation and to achieve remote sensing of 48 depth and benthic communities in subtidal waters (McRea et al. 1999; Piazzi et al. 49 2000; Brown et al. 2002; Freitas et al. 2003; Riegl et al. 2005; Freitas et al. 2006). 50 But as these techniques involve either profiles or narrow swaths, their efficiency of 51 coverage is guite limited and addressing areas from regional to global scale leads to 52 dramatically increased costs. Acoustic methods also have limited discriminatory ability between macrophyte types and densities although recent works show their 53 54 capability to coarse estimate macrophytic biomass (Riegl et al. 2005). So, for spatial 55 assessment of seabed habitats, prediction using models seems to be the best 56 approach. Depending of the objective of the survey and the availability of data to 57 build models, assessment could include the occurrence, the biomass, the density 58 and/or the diversity of habitats. Although these tools cannot replace direct detection or observation of benthic surfaces, they can provide a more global vision of some 59 60 seabed habitats that is compatible with ecosystem management. The development of predictive models will contribute to better understanding of the factors and processes 61 62 which structure the distribution and composition of marine habitats and their

63 associated biological communities at a coarser yet more integrated scale than that 64 achieved using direct methods. Once developed and validated, these models are 65 time- and cost-beneficial tools and enable the coverage of areas where no habitat 66 information is available. Besides, they offer a way to apply scenarios to simulate 67 effects of <u>environmental changes on habitats distribution, particularly in the</u> 68 <u>contemporary context of the Climate Change (IPCC 2001).</u>

69 Some combinations of environmental parameters, such as the so-called the 'marine 70 landscape', are assumed to control the distribution of species and habitat types (Roff 71 and Taylor 2000). Basically, the key parameters used can be grouped under three 72 themes (Stevens and Connolly 2004), i.e., those concerned with 1/ the morphology of 73 the bottom and the nature of the substrate (depth, sediment type, sediment 74 constituents), 2/ the nature of the water body overlying the substrate (temperature, 75 pH, salinity, turbidity, nutrients) and 3/ the dynamics of the local environment or water 76 mass (exposure to waves, current velocity). Since the approach proposed by Roff & 77 Taylor in 2000 to predict the distribution of species and habitat types using 'marine 78 landscapes', there have been a few examples of marine habitat classification in a 79 spatial context based on physical factors (Zacharias et al. 1999; Kelly et al. 2001; 80 Zacharias and Roff 2001; Brinkman et al. 2002; Stevens and Connolly 2004; Greve 81 and Krause-Jensen 2005; De Oliveira et al. 2006). Applied to a marine context, these 82 methodologies are expected to produce rules to understand species distribution 83 according to environmental parameters and hence, predictive maps.

The aim of this study, part of a modelling work package of the MESH project (Mapping European Seabed Habitats), an Interreg IIIB North-West Europe funded initiative, is to propose a predictive model of kelp forest (hereafter called KF)

87 frequency, i.e., the percentage of their presence along the coast of Brittany, France. 88 Indeed, seaweeds are an important component of coastal primary production. With a primary production ranging from 400 to 1900 g C.m⁻².y⁻¹ (Sivertsen 1997), KF can be 89 compared to the most productive terrestrial ecosystems (Hurd 2000). Characterised 90 by densities of more than 3 plants.m⁻² and made up of various seaweed species 91 92 belonging to the Laminariales order, essentially Laminaria digitata and Laminaria 93 hyperborean, KF are often the dominant producers in nearshore ecosystems, 94 supplying higher trophic levels via herbivory or the detrital food chain (Hurd 2000 and 95 references within). KF also provide an essential habitat and food for hundreds of 96 marine invertebrates and fish species living in temperate nearshore waters 97 (Norderhaug et al. 2002 and references within). However, they also react to changes 98 in environmental changes and/or quality (Dayton et al. 1992; Ferrat et al. 2003). 99 Finally, KF are used in many maritime countries for industrial applications and as a 100 fertiliser. This means that there is a steady demand for raw material from the 101 seaweed industry, adding economic importance to their ecological one.

102 In this current study, KF frequency is predicted as a function of the depth and the 103 chosen methodology for the prediction is the stepwise multiple regression process 104 with a backward selection of environmental variables: water transparency, 105 temperature and water motion. The software used to build and validate the model 106 and to display the resulting map is a Geographical Information System (GIS), ArcGIS 107 9.0. After validation, model is used in the context of Climate Change to estimate the 108 effect of environmental variation on KF distribution.

109

110

112 MATERIALS AND METHODS

113 Environmental variables

Nature of substrate – As KF are <u>mainly</u> found on rocky substrata, the prediction of
their occurrence was limited to this kind of substrate. Thus, maps of rock in shapefile
format were used as masks to force the model in the GIS software, namely digital
sediment maps (SHOM 1994-2005) with a resolution of 1:50,000 and where not
available, a coarser 1:500,000 map (Vaslet et al. 1979).

119 Bathymetry – The bathymetry map was a raster dataset from the French Channel 120 coast to the Gironde estuary, with a resolution of 150 m. This raster was generated 121 using various types of digital and map depth data that were interpolated by kriging, a 122 geostatistical method. Bathymetry was expressed in metres with respect to the LAT 123 (lowest astronomical tide level). However, this depth did not correspond to the real 124 water column height, since LAT levels are rarely reached. Therefore, depth values 125 were locally corrected by the annual mean tide level, leading to a new raster dataset 126 of water column height to be used as an input for the predictive model. For the sake 127 of simplicity, this water height will be called "depth" in the paper. 128 Another bathymetric derivative was also calculated, the BPI (Bathymetric Position

129 Index, Lundblad et al. 2004). This index enabled the topography to be estimated 130 (crest / depression / flat or slope) by measuring where a given depth cell was located 131 with regard to the overall landscape. In the present case the mean depth of the 132 surrounding cells was computed using a 4 cell radius annulus. The cells in the 133 resulting raster dataset were assigned values within a range of positive and negative 134 numbers. A positive BPI indicated a cell on a crest, whereas a negative index was 135 found where a depression occurred. Flats areas or areas with a constant slope 136 produced index values near zero (Lundblad et al. 2004).

137 *Water transparency* – In coastal waters, light is very often a key limiting factor for the 138 growth of photosynthetic organisms such as the laminarial algae constituting KF, and 139 the light attenuation coefficient in the euphotic layer is a major parameter used in 140 ecological modelling. Thus, the attenuation coefficient of the photosynthetically 141 available radiation (PAR domain [400 – 700 nm]), K_{PAR} enabled the light attenuation 142 throughout the water column to be modelled. This coefficient, derived from the water 143 optically active components related to chlorophyll, suspended particulate matter and 144 dissolved organic matter could be used as a water turbidity proxy. Hence, a high 145 attenuation coefficient illustrates a turbid water column. In this study, KPAR was 146 derived from SeaWiFS (Sea Wide Field Sensor) satellite reflectance, combining 147 chlorophyll and suspended matter optical properties (Gohin et al. 2005). 52 weekly 148 mean images of K_{PAR} were obtained from SeaWiFS data averaged over the 1998-149 2004 period, with a resolution of 1,100 m.

From this K_{PAR} the fraction of light reaching the bottom (Fr) was estimated for a given
depth h by:

152 Fr =
$$(\exp^{h \times K_{PAR}}) \times 100$$
 (%)

153 When this percentage is equal to 1%, it defines the lower limit of the photic zone. 154 Below this threshold, the remaining energy is not efficient for photosynthesis. 155 *Temperature* – This factor was estimated by Sea Surface Temperature (SST, in \mathcal{C}) 156 derived from AVHRR (Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer) data with a 157 resolution of 1,100 m. SST maps were provided by the SAF (Satellite Application 158 Facility) "Ocean and Sea Ice" of EUMETSTAT/Meteo-France, Lannion (France) and 159 52 weekly mean images were available from AVHRR reflectance averaged over the 160 last two decades.

7

(1)

Water motion – This variable was expressed as the tidal current maximum velocity
(Vmax in m.s⁻¹) resulting from simulations for a mean spring tide run by the
hydrodynamic model MARS 3D developed at Ifremer. The current resolution of this
model is 300 m.

165

166 Biological variables: KF ground-truthing

167 Acoustic surveys of laminarial algae belonging to KF were carried out at 10 locations 168 along the Coast of Brittany in three periods: spring 2005 for the Aber Wrac'h (AW) 169 site, spring 2006 for the Groix (Gr), Molène (Mo), Méloine (Me) and Triagoz (Tr) sites 170 and spring 2007 for the Audierne (Au), Bréhat Island (Br), Glénan (Gl), Heaux (He) 171 and Moelan (MI) sites (Figure 1). All sites were chosen for the presence of rocky 172 substrata and the accessibility to survey boat. Prospected zone for each site was 173 delimited using rock and bathymetry maps to identify flat rocky area located at a 174 bathymetry varying from 10 to 30 m, where KF were more susceptible to be found. 175 On field, a small survey boat equipped with a 120 kHz Simrad EK60 echo-sounder 176 was used. The narrow 7° width beams were used for emitting and receiving. The 177 acquisition parameters of the transducer, adjusted to the minimum pulse duration (64 178 µs) and sampling interval (pulse frequency: 16 µs), made it possible to obtain the 179 maximum resolution on both vertical and horizontal axes. All recordings were 180 performed at a constant speed of about 5 knots corresponding to a distance between 181 each pulse (or ping) varying from 5 to 20 cm. The total track length for each site was 182 about 20 kilometres. Acoustic transects were simultaneously georeferenced with a 183 GPS equipped with the EGNOS system giving position accuracy of better than three 184 metres. Both acoustic and position data were stored on a laptop PC.

185 Data processing - Raw acoustic data were post-processed using MOVIES+ echo 186 integration software (Marchalot et al. 2003) which can be used to evaluate the 187 backscattered energy in different depth layers defined by the user above or below the 188 seafloor (Figure 2, line A). The first layer was defined at 0.2 m above the sea bottom 189 to detected KF (Figure 2, line B) and the second from 1 to 1.5 m under the sea 190 bottom to evaluate the nature of the seafloor (not shown in Figure 2). The top limit of 191 the integrated layer was set at 2.2 m above the bottom (line C). On each ESU 192 (Elementary Sampling Unit, Figure 2), defined by a 20-ping width and a spatial 193 resolution varying from 1 to 4 m (depending on the speed of the boat), the software 194 gives four parameters for each layer: Ni (number of echo-integrated samples), Nt 195 (total number of samples), sA (nautical area scattering coefficient in the layer in m²/mille²) and sV (volume reverberation index of the layer in dB). The additional 196 197 parameter *BotErr* (for Bottom Error), provided by the software when a large variation 198 is detected in the echo-integrated energy, may indicate that the bottom itself has 199 accidentally been integrated in the first bottom layer (i.e., the one nearest the sea 200 bed, see Figure 2, line A). Once the raw acoustic data have been processed using 201 MOVIES+, a specific algorithm implemented with the Excel software based on thresholds and ratio values of Ni, Nt, sA and BotErr automatically classifies KF 202 203 presence or absence (binary) and the type of substrate (rock or sand). The algorithm 204 was validated using direct observations by scuba-divers on the AW site during spring 205 2005 and in the Gr, Mo, Me and Tr sites during the spring 2006.

Thus, the resulting data for each ESU were the coordinates of the point (lat, long), the KF presence or absence, the nature of the substratum, and the depth (in metres). The latter, initially measured with reference to LAT, was corrected by adding the annual mean tide level.

The echo-integration results were used to build KF distribution laws, expressed for each site in "percentage of presence" or "frequency" (%) as a function of depth (m). KF frequency, F_{IHI} , was obtained for depths between 10 and 30 m by:

213
$$F_{[H]} = \frac{\sum_{h \ge H-0.25}^{h < H+0.25} KF_{H}}{\sum_{h \ge H-0.25}^{h < H+0.25} NH} \times 100$$
(2)

where H was the class of depth split into 0.5 m intervals and *h* the depth from echointegration falling into this class, KF_H the total amount of ESU corresponding to KF for the given class H and R_H the total amount of ESU corresponding to rock substratum for the same class H.

218 These frequency laws were fitted using piecewise regressions (Toms and 219 Lesperance 2003) from SigmaPlot 10.0 software following the process:

220
$$h_1 = \min(h)$$

221 $h_3 = \max(h)$
222 $\operatorname{segment1}(h) = (y_1 \times (H_1 - h) + y_2 \times (h - H_1)) / (H_1 - h_1)$ (3)
223 $\operatorname{segment2}(h) = (y_2 \times (H_2 - h) + y_3 \times (h - H_1)) / (H_2 - H_1)$ (4)
224 $\operatorname{segment3}(h) = (y_3 \times (h_3 - h) + y_4 \times (h - H_2)) / (h_3 - H_2)$ (5)
225 $f = \operatorname{if}(h \le H_1; \operatorname{segment1}(h); \operatorname{if}(h \le H_2; \operatorname{segment2}(h); \operatorname{segment3}(h))$

The fit was sought for the two breakpoints H_1 and H_2 and $Slope_2$, the slope between them (Figure 3). H_1 and H_2 were the depths corresponding respectively to the beginning of the frequency decrease and to the disappearance of KF, (which is also the upper limit of KF characterised by a density of less than 3 plants.m⁻²). These three parameters were taken as the biological variables to be predicted using environmental ones. Each fit was expressed with its confidence and predictionintervals at 95 % (Figure 3).

234

235 Model building

The cell values of the environmental variable raster dataset (BPI, K_{PAR}, SST and Vmax) intersected by acoustically surveyed transects were extracted and averaged on a site basis. The values from five sites (AW, Mo, Me, Tr and Gr) called "training sites" were used to build the predictive model of KF frequency, whereas the values from the other five (Au, Br, Gl, He and Ml called "validation sites") were used to validate it.

The methodology chosen for the prediction was the stepwise multiple regression with a backward selection of variables. Associations of the BPI and/or K_{PAR} and/or SST and/or Vmax were used to predict H₁, H₂ and Slope₂, and then to estimate KF frequency for depths from H₁ to H₂:

246
$$H_1 = aBPI + bSST + cK_{PAR} + dVmax^{\beta}$$
 (6)

247
$$H_2 = a'BPI + b'SST + c'K_{PAR} + d'Vmax^{\beta}$$
(7)

248 Slope₂ = a"BPI + b"SST + c"K_{PAR} + d"Vmax^{$$\beta$$} (8)

249 Predicted KF frequency (%) = Slope₂ × (h – H₂) for H₁ < h < H₂ (9)

where, a to c" were the regression coefficients (might be = 0), and the β exponent expressed the flexibility of algae in a flow, typically around 1.5 (Denny and Gaylord 2002). 2 and 1.5 were tested as values for β .

253

The prediction of KF frequency for a depth less than H_1 is performed using the same process:

256 Predicted KF frequency (%) = wBPI + xSST + yK_{PAR} + zVmax^{β} (10)

for h < H₁

where w to z are the regression coefficients (might be = 0) and β =1.5 or 2.

260 Stepwise regressions were run using the statistical software R.2.5.1. However, the 261 use in regression process of the 52 weekly values extracted from K_{PAR} and SST 262 images was not relevant. For this reason, water transparency and surface 263 temperature information were synthesised using both the annual average (namely 264 K_{PAR}year and SSTyear) and the average during the growth period from week 14 to 265 week 25 (namely K_{PAR}growth and SSTgrowth). The minimum and maximum values 266 during the year (K_{PAR}min, SSTmin, K_{PAR}max and SSTmax) were also integrated in 267 the stepwise regression process. Then environmental variables with a non-significant 268 partial F ($p \le 0.1$) were removed step by step. However, varying significant multiple 269 or simple regressions were obtained to predict the same biological variables. All 270 these regressions were used to build varying predictive models, and the one showing 271 the smallest residual differences between predictions and observations was kept to 272 produce the final predictive map. This map was then built by automating the model 273 work flow with the 'ModelBuilder' interface in the ArcGIS 9.0 geoprocessing toolbox. 274 Moreover, this interface allowed to create the environmental settings for the model, 275 which controlled geoprocessing output parameters. Raster analysis settings were 276 used to give the output cell size, defining working scale, the finest resolution among 277 the various data sources, 150 m, and to apply the rock mask.

278

279 Validation and simulations

280 KF frequency obtained by echo-sounding from the 5 sites: Au, Br, Gl, He and Mo

(Figure 1) was compared to the prediction at the same location to validate the model.

283 environmental variation on the depth of KF disappearance, H₂. Indeed, since 1976, 284 temperature of the ocean increase by 0.075 °C/decad e, i.e. an increase of around 285 0.2 °C during the 30 past years (IPCC 2001). For the northern hemisphere, where 286 this study sites are located, the increase of temperature is higher with 0.4 C/decade, 287 i.e. around 1 °C since 1976 (IPCC 2001). Using the validated model, two scenarii 288 were tested for temperature increase in accordance to IPCC (2001) results: the 289 global (0.2 $^{\circ}$ C) and the northern increase (1 $^{\circ}$ C). A n intermediate stage (an increase 290 of 0.5 °C) was used in a third simulation. In the same way, three scenarii to estimate 291 effect of an increase of water transparency on KF distribution were tested. Indeed, 292 extreme episodic events such as storms, extreme rain events and flooding must a 293 consequence of the Climate Change (IPCC 2001). These result in strong 294 hydrodynamics and super river discharges leading to decrease of water transparency 295 (de Jonge and de Jong 2002; Cardoso et al. 2008). However, no information about 296 the evolution of the water transparency proxy use in this study, the K_{PAR}, is available. 297 Steps to simulate increase of K_{PAR} values for the three scenarii were chosen to test

It was then used in the context of Climate Change to estimate the effect of

298 K_{PAR} values included in the range of values used to build the model: 0.01, 0.02 and

299 <u>0.05.</u>

300

282

301 RESULTS

302 Environmental parameters

303 Gr, He and Br sites were the more turbid locations throughout the year and during 304 the growth period with the greatest K_{PAR} year and K_{PAR} growth values (Table 1). For 305 these three sites, the minimum values (K_{PAR} min) never went below 0.18, whereas 306 maximum values (K_{PAR} max) reached 0.456 at the Gr site during week 2 (Table 1,

Figure 4). On the other hand, the western sites Mo and Au were the clearest
locations with lowest K_{PAR} values (Table 1).

309 Along with this spatial variability along the coast of Brittany, water transparency also 310 varied over time. Peaks of K_{PAR}, often exceeding 0.25, were detected during the first 311 seven weeks and the last twelve weeks (Figure 4). These periods corresponded 312 respectively to winter and autumn, periods of bad weather with rain and storms often 313 leading to increased amounts of mineral material from either bottom scouring or river 314 discharge. The maximum K_{PAR} values reported in Table 1 were recorded during 315 these weeks. Conversely, the minimum K_{PAR} values (K_{PAR}min, Table 1) were 316 observed during spring/summer between weeks 10 and 40. This period 317 corresponded to calm weather, although some turbulent and stochastic events 318 appeared and generated turbidity peaks lasting from one to three weeks but never 319 resulting in a K_{PAR} above 0.25 (Figure 4). These peaks were essentially observed at 320 AW, Gr, Br and He sites, whereas the other sites were more stable in terms of water 321 transparency (Figure 4).

322

323 Surface temperature showed spatial and temporal variability very similar to that of 324 water transparency. The warmest sites during the year were those located in the 325 south: Gr, Gl and Ml with respectively 13.6, 13.7 and 13.5°C (Table 1), which also 326 exhibited growth period temperature values in excess of 12.5°C. The coldest site was AW with more than 1°C below the annual means of the southern sites. The 327 328 other sites showed equivalent annual SST values, around 13°C (Table 1). 329 The temporal variability was classic, with high temperatures in summer, and low 330 temperatures in winter (Figure 5). However the Gr site, although it was one of the 331 warmest, showed the minimum temperature value (8.7° C), due to a well-known

tongue of cold water occurring near the coast. The other southern sites showed thehighest minimum and maximum temperature values (Table 1, Figure 5).

334

335 Exposure, measured by the maximum tidal current velocity Vmax, showed a

336 north/south gradient whose maximum velocity was lower than 0.3 m.s⁻¹ for southern

337 sites, although it reached 1 m.s⁻¹ for the more turbulent northern sites (Table 1).

338

339 Surveying KF with echo-sounding

340 The parameters described in the Materials and Methods section were calculated for 341 the echo signals collected over the study areas and the binary classification of KF 342 (presence/absence) was performed for each site. For illustrate results, only part of 343 the echogram for the GI site and the corresponding classification are shown in Figure 344 6. The acoustic signal from KF is about 1 metre high with quite low backscatter 345 energy (light grey) above the seafloor (dark grey). There was good correlation 346 between underwater KF boundaries as indicated by the echogram and the 347 classification (dark hatches). Sometimes, accidental bottom integration causes 348 classification of the ESU in *BottErr* (light hatches). This phenomenon is generally 349 seen on steeper rocky substrates and is amplified by bad weather conditions.

350

351 KF frequency law

Overall, the sites showed the same significant distribution profile along the depth (Figure 3, Table 2), except for those of Au, He and MI, for which some fit parameters are not significant (Table 2). The profile was divided in two parts. The first, before the inflexion point H₁, corresponded to the variability of frequency around a mean (Figure 3). The slope of this first segment was not significant, and thus, was not predicted by

357 the model. Indeed, the frequency for depths less than H₁ up to the upper KF limit 358 were directly predicted using environmental parameters (eq. 10). The second part of 359 distribution law corresponded to a drop in the frequency along Slope₂, between H₁, 360 and H₂, the depth at which KF disappeared (eq. 4 to 6). Fits are good, with high 361 adjusted R² and a probability of less than 0.01 (Table 2). H₁ varies from 13.2 m for 362 the most turbid and coldest site Br, to 20.6 m for the clearest and warmest one. Mo 363 (Tables 1 and 2). Likewise, Slope₂ is higher in turbid (low transparency) and cold 364 sites, such as Au and Br, than in less turbid and warmer sites such as Me and AW 365 (Tables 1 and 2). Similarly to H₁ and Slope₂, H₂ varies with the water transparency 366 and surface temperature from 19.3 m to 27.8 m. However, the relationship between 367 H₂ and water transparency and/or surface temperature is not as clear as that 368 explaining H₁ and Slope₂, suggesting the effect of another environmental parameter 369 to explain explaining KF disappearance, which could be bed stress. 370 Once H₂ was known, the Fr fraction (eq. 1) for each site was calculated using the 371 four water transparency parameters K_{PAR}year, K_{PAR}gowth, K_{PAR}min and K_{PAR}max 372 (Table 3). Only K_{PAR}growth and K_{PAR}min values allowed Fr higher than the 1% 373 threshold permitting photosynthesis activity. The use of K_{PAR}year and K_{PAR}max 374 generated Fr values below the 1% level which were inconsistent with algal presence 375 such as KF or parks. Thus, only K_{PAR} growth and K_{PAR} min seemed to be relevant and 376 biologically interpretable abiotic factors to predict H₂ and hence KF frequency.

377

378 Predictive modelling

379 Stepwise regression processes provided four significant models to predict KF

380 frequency from the five training sites AW, Mo, Me, Tr and Gr, for a depth ranging

381 from H_1 to H_2 following the equations (6) to (9). The first model predicted biological

382	variables (H_1 , H_2 and Slope ₂) using SSTmin only (eqs. 11 to	o 13) and the second one						
383	used K_{PAR} min only (eqs. 14 to 16). The last two significant models were similar to the							
384	first two, but with a better predictive H_2 using $Vmax^{1.5}$ in addition to SSTmin or							
385	K_{PAR} min alone (eqs. 17 and 18). The adjusted R ² increased from 0.80 to 0.98 when							
386	Vmax ^{1.5} was associated with SSTmin, and from 0.76 to 0.9	7 when Vmax ^{1.5} was						
387	associated with K _{PAR} min:							
388								
389	pred_mod1,							
390	$H_1 = -29.81 + 5.31 \times SSTmin$	$R^2 = 0.88, p \le 0.05$ (11)						
391	$H_2 = -30.32 + 5.86 \times SSTmin$	$R^2 = 0.80, p \le 0.05$ (12)						
392	$Slope_2 = 28.53 - 4.23 \times SSTmin$	$R^2 = 0.79, p \le 0.05$ (13)						
393	pred_mod2,							
394	$H_1 = 40.5 - 121.19 \times K_{PAR}min$	$R^2 = 0.87, p \le 0.05$ (14)						
395	$H_2 = 40.75 - 130.97 \times K_{PAR}min$	$R^2 = 0.76, p \le 0.05$ (15)						
396	Slope ₂ = - 25.37 + 84.72 \times K _{PAR} min	$R^2 = 0.60, p = 0.12$ (16)						
397	pred_mod3,							
398	$H_1 = eq. (14)$							
399	$H_2 = 43.53 - 121.12 \times K_{PAR}min + 2.26 \times Vmax^{1.5}$	$R^2 = 0.97, p \le 0.05$ (17)						
400	$Slope_2 = eq. (16)$							
401	pred_mod4,							
402	$H_1 = eq. (11)$							
403	$H_2 = -26.86 + 5.33 \times SSTmin + 2.07 \times Vmax^{1.5}$	R² = 0.98, p ≤0.05 (18)						

 $Slope_2 = eq. (13)$

405 For each model, the KF frequency was predicted following equation (9). Thus, the 406 most efficient model was that reducing residuals between observation and prediction 407 (Figure 7). These residuals showed that models including temperature or water 408 transparency only (respectively pred_mod1 and pred_mod2) were not able to predict 409 KF frequency correctly (Figure 7a and 7b). Indeed, SSTmin on its own (pred_mod1) 410 predicted KF frequency well only for the Gr and Me sites, whereas this model 411 overestimated percentages for the sites AW and Mo and underestimated them for Tr 412 (Figure 7a). On the contrary, K_{PAR}min (pred_mod2, Figure 7b) enabled good 413 prediction for the latter site as well as for Me, while it overestimated observations for 414 Mo and underestimated those on AW. The use of water motion, estimating bed stress using Vmax^{1.5}, was more efficient (Figure 7c and 7d) particularly when it was 415 416 associated with water transparency (Figure 7c). Only the observed frequencies from 417 the Gr site were not well predicted using the model 'pred mod3' but this was due to 418 incomplete coverage by SeaWiFS data for this site. Therefore, the model using SSTmin and Vmax^{1.5} (Figure 7d) was run for part of this site and other locations 419 420 where water transparency data were not available.

421 Models were able thus to predict a decrease in depths H₁ and H₂ with water clarity, 422 while an increase in temperature indicated deeper breakpoints. When clearness or 423 surface temperature of water was constant a drop in the depth limit H₂ occurred in a 424 direct ratio with a power of 1.5 for the velocity. Finally, the model providing the best 425 prediction of KF frequency for depths between H₁ to H₂ was pred_mod3, using water 426 transparency and bed stress, or pred_mod4 when water transparency data were not 427 available.

However, the only significant model to predict KF frequency for a depth less than H₁,
following equation (10) was that using topography (BPI) alone:

431 Predict % = $52.5 - 1.64 \times BPI$ R² = 0.75, p ≤ 0.01 (19)

432

This regression indicates that KF were observed preferentially in depressions rather
than on crests. But, the attempted validation of this model concluded that using BPI
as a physical parameter can correctly predict KF frequency values around 50%
(Figure 8). Under or above this frequency, BPI alone did not explain occurrences of
KF in well-lit water.

The prediction was stopped at the +1m depth contour, known to be the higher limit of 438 439 KF presence. It was not possible to predict this limit at the study scale, as was done 440 by De Oliveira (2006) who used the percentage of immersion over the year, derived 441 from the tidal flooding frequency at a given elevation. This limit occurred for KF 442 between immersion periods ranging from 92 to 97 % whereas maximum KF 443 coverage occurred at 100 % immersion. The depth contours corresponding to ~ 95 % 444 and 100 % immersion were too closes (only a few tens of metres), so they were 445 included in the same pixels of the bathymetry dataset used in our model. Therefore, 446 estimating and mapping the decrease in KF frequency between these two contours 447 at our working scale (150 m) was not possible.

448 Model validation

Validation sites Au, Br, Gl, He and Ml (Figure 1) were used to validate the selected
model providing the better prediction, by looking at the residuals between the KF
frequency obtained by echo-sounding and predicted KF (Figure 9a). The prediction of

452 KF frequency between H_1 and H_2 is satisfactory for Au and GI sites but not as good 453 for He, Br and MI sites, for which some KF frequency predictions overestimated the 454 observations (Figure 9a).

For depths of less than H₁, the model using BPI alone is not too effective (Figure 9b).
Observed frequencies varied from 10 to 64 % for all the sites, whereas predictions
varied from 40 to 55 %. This indicates a limitation of the predictive model using only
BPI for depths less than H₁.

In spite of these limits, the model provided good prediction of the boundary of KF
disappearance H₂, on validation sites as well as on training sites (Table 4).

461

462 Predictive map

A predictive map is proposed to visualise areas where KF may occur as driven by
environmental parameters (Figure 10). Three examples were taken to illustrate this
map, AW, Br and GI sites, respectively shown by black, red and blue boxes (Figure
10). <u>AW is one of the sites showing highest hydrodynamism with great V_{max} and K_{PAR}
values, whereas GI is one of the less agitated sites and Br shows an intermediate
</u>

468 <u>stage.</u>

KF disappear at greater depth when the water column is clear and not too cold. This is the case for the site AW site (black box, Figure 10). On this site, KF regularly reaches the 30 m depth contour. For more turbid and colder sites such as Br, KF only reaches the 20 m contour (red box, Figure 10). Exposure is also responsible for the decrease in the KF depth limit. For example, although the GI site is clearer than AW, KF there do not reach the 30 m contour, or only very locally (blue box, Figure 10). This is explained by the lower maximum velocity at GI than at AW (Table 1).

476

477 Simulation

478 In the context of Climate Change, the model was used to predict the potential 479 variation in the KF disappearance depth, H₂, with respect to various scenarios. 480 Simulations were based on an increase in K_{PAR}min of 0.01, 0.02 and 0.05, except for 481 locations where no turbidity data were available. For the latter, SSTmin was used 482 with an increase of 0.2, 0.5 and 1°C. The results illustrated the antagonism of these 483 two environmental parameters: an increase in water transparency induced an upward 484 shift of the KF boundary while temperature was responsible for a downward one 485 (Table 4). On sites AW, Me, Mo, Tr, Br, GI and He (where K_{PAR}min was used), H₂ 486 decreases of 1.2 m, 1.3 m and 3.6 m were obtained with K_{PAR}min respectively 487 increasing by 0.01, 0.02 and 0.05 (Table 4). On the other hand, on sites for which 488 SSTmin was used (Gr, Au and MI), H₂ rose by 1, 2.5 and 5.5 m when SST 489 respectively increased by 0.2, 0.5 and 1°C (Table 4).

490

491 DISCUSSION

492 Environmental effect – Antagonism between water transparency and water493 temperature.

494 Water transparency and water temperature are the two main environmental variables 495 structuring KF frequency and distribution over the coast of Brittany. The results of this 496 study conclude that the annual minimum value of the light attenuation coefficient by the water column is the most significant and relevant water transparency proxy for KF 497 498 prediction. This minimum value is measured during spring/summer, corresponding to 499 calm weather and thus to high water transparency because of limited sediment 500 scouring from the bottom and river discharges. It is also during this period that 501 maximum photosynthesis activity occurs, and the literature bears out that light

502 attenuation by the water column is a key parameter in the structuring of macroalgae 503 communities, essentially during spring/summer (Belsher 1986; Markager and Sand-504 Jensen 1992) because of this maximum photosynthesis activity. This period of the 505 year is favourable to KF growth all the more so nutrients are not limiting factors in 506 Brittany costal water (Ménesquen et al. 1997). This also explains why the value of 507 the light attenuation coefficient measured during the few weeks defining the growth 508 period is another relevant water transparency proxy for KF prediction. This is 509 supported by calculating the percentage of incident light lightening the limit of KF 510 disappearance. According to Markager and Send-Jensen (1992) and references 511 within showing the percentage of incidental light ranging from 0.7 to 1.9 % reaching 512 the depth limit for Laminaria hyperborea, both minimum and growth values of K_{PAR} 513 are responsible for a percentage which is often higher than the 1% threshold 514 permitting photosynthesis. Then, below the KF depth limit, the remaining light energy 515 could be used by other photoautotrophic communities or organisms. KF are replaced 516 by less dense communities, such as laminarial parks characterised by a density of less than 3 plants.m⁻², and shade-loving species belonging to the Rhodophyceae 517 518 class like Solieria chordalis.

519

520 Using water transparency to predict the KF depth limit is also an interesting approach 521 in the context of Climate Change. Climate changes, including higher temperatures, 522 precipitation and wind speeds as well as storm events, may increase the risk of 523 abrupt and non-linear changes in many ecosystems, which would affect their 524 composition, function, biodiversity and productivity (IPCC 2001). Episodic events 525 such as storms, extreme rain events and flooding resulting in strong hydrodynamics 526 and super river discharges can lead to increased amounts of suspended mineral

matter in the water column and on the bottom substrate (de Jonge and de Jong
2002; Cardoso et al. 2008). This turbidity increase is reinforced by anthropogenic
activities responsible for multiple stressors including pollutants, excess nutrients,
altered habitats and hydrological regimes as well as floods and droughts (Cardoso et
al. 2008). The response of KF to this drop in water transparency is bound to be an
upward shift of their lower limit.

533 Nevertheless, the KF depth limit shift due to natural or anthropogenic turbidity 534 increases could be counterbalanced by a rise in water temperature. Indeed, this 535 study concludes that KF take advantage of temperature increases, with communities 536 spreading towards deeper levels. The use of water temperature for prediction is more 537 relevant when values are measured outside of the summer period. During these 538 warm months, water column stratification can occur and therefore surface 539 temperature is not a good proxy for bottom temperature. The rest of the year, when 540 the water column is fairly homogenous and the bottom water is slightly cooler than at 541 the surface, surface temperature is a good proxy for the entire column. Next, one of 542 the structuring factors of Brittany KF communities is a minimum value of surface 543 temperature measured during winter, varying from 8.3 to 9.6 °C. These low 544 temperatures are without consequences for Laminaria digitata, the major species 545 providing high KF levels (approximately from the LAT down to a depth of 5 m), as 546 their broad ecological optimum varies from 3 to 15 °C (Belsher 1986). On the other 547 hand, L. hyperborea, the major species making up the lower-lying part of KF 548 (approximately from LAT to the depth limit) is more sensitive to cold temperature. Its 549 optimum is narrower than that of *L. digitata*, varying from 10 to 17 $^{\circ}$ C and young 550 sporophyte growth is altered at temperatures less than 10 \mathcal{C} (Belsher 1986). This 551 explains why a rise in colder temperatures favours the spreading of these

552 communities towards deeper levels. Using temperature measured during the cold 553 period for predictions is also an interesting approach in the case of Climate Change, 554 because water warming is mainly observed during this period (Koutsikopoulos et al. 555 1998). Nevertheless, although an increase in the coldest temperatures, as a 556 consequence of Climate Change, seems to favour a downward KF shift, this 557 phenomenon could be moderated or even reversed by the decrease in water 558 transparency during calm periods. These two parameters have an antagonistic effect 559 on KF structure.

560 Moreover, although the current model was not able to predict an effect on KF upper 561 limits, the temperature increase observed over the past decades (IPCC 2001) could 562 have an harmful effect on them. Indeed, L. digitata which occupies the upper part of 563 KF, shows an optimum until 15℃, and a lethal tempe rature value around 23 - 24 ℃ 564 (Belsher 1986). The latter values have not been observed along the coast of Brittany 565 using the AVHRR scale, but, if surface temperatures kept increasing (as could be the 566 case locally), lethal values would soon be reached. This warming effect would lead to 567 KF reaching deeper and cooler water.

568 Then, in the worse Climate Change scenario, showing a rapid, high rise in 569 temperature with an increase in the number and intensity of extreme events (IPCC, 570 2001), the consequences will be an upward shift of the depth limit and a downward 571 one of the upper KF boundary, leading to a reduction in their width. If worse comes to 572 worst, the effects of both an increase in water temperature and a decrease in 573 transparency could lead to the complete disappearance of KF. This dramatic 574 consequence would lower or eliminate the habitat surface area and alter the 575 diversity, abundance and functioning of the associated biological communities. This 576 depletion of the ecosystem will also have economic consequences because of the

577 decrease of this resource already threatened by over-cropping (MEDD 2005). All

578 these consequences will be irremediable if no global resolution like that

579 recommended by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC,

580 <u>http://www.ipcc.ch</u>) is adopted in the next few years.

581

582 Environment effect – Bed stress issue

583 Although the main studies assessing macro-algae with regard to exposure involve 584 wave swell effects and the intertidal area (Denny 1995; Hurd 2000; Denny and 585 Gaylord 2002; Buck and Buchholz 2005; Boller and Carrington 2006), this study 586 considered exposure due to tidal currents. Numerous authors have shown the effect 587 of orbital wave velocity, responsible for a drag force tending to push an object 588 downstream, which depends on the water density and velocity exponent of drag, β 589 (Denny 1995). This exponent is derived from Vogel's *E* (Vogel 1994), and measures 590 the relationship between velocity and drag. It determines how force increases with an 591 increase in water velocity. For bluff objects subjected to drag, β is approximately 2 592 (Denny 1995; Denny and Gaylord 2002) and numerous authors take this value for all 593 objects, whether flexible or not (Buck and Buchholz 2005; Boller and Carrington 594 2006; Pope et al. 2006). However, Vogel (1994) and Denny (1995) suggest that an 595 exponent value lesser than 2 be used for streamlined or flexible objects. Indeed, in a 596 unidirectional flow, algal fronds bend in response to the force applied, and the plant 597 reorients and rearranges itself passively in a way resulting in overall streamlining 598 (Denny 1995 and references within). Consequently, the β for exposed algae in flow is 599 universally less than 2 and typically around 1.5 (Denny 1995), with the velocity-600 dependant character of shape being incorporated in this exponent. In this study, 601 because of the lack of swell data for the entire survey area at an appropriate scale,

602 the effect of tidal current velocity was tested as a proxy for global water motion. The 603 results confirm Denny's suggestion: the value 1.5 for velocity exponent of drag is 604 more significant than the value 2, although water velocity does not have the same 605 source (swell vs. tide). The effect of a velocity increase is positive for KF: for sites with the same water transparency conditions, a velocity greater than 0.8 m.s⁻¹ 606 607 induces a downward shift of KF depth limit. This could be explained by a regular 608 cleaning effect of thalli in wild sites, making them more receptive to 609 photosynthetically available radiation than in sheltered sites where thalli are often 610 covered with a thin layer of particles. On the other hand, and although this has not 611 been observed on the scale and the sites of this study, too high a velocity is not 612 beneficial for KF, which could be dislodged or destroyed, as shown in situ or 613 experimentally for a number of macroalgae species (Gaylord et al. 2003; Buck and 614 Buchholz 2005; Boller and Carrington 2006). Indeed, the shear stress imposed on a 615 structure by water velocity of 2 m.s⁻¹ is roughly equivalent to that exerted by wind of 130 mils.h⁻¹ (Denny and Gaylord 2002). 616

617 Another proxy for exposure is the topography. This environmental variable is the only 618 one explaining KF structures when water temperature and transparency are not 619 limiting factors, that is to say in shallow depths. KF are observed more often, on a 620 working scale, in depressions rather than on crests. This could be explained by the 621 fact that crests are too exposed to the swell and tidal currents and therefore KF 622 would be overly subjected to high drag forces. These forces are lower in depressions 623 where KF are more sheltered. This explanation must be advanced with caution, 624 because the expected result involving the topography was a greater occurrence of 625 KF on crests rather than depressions (S. Derrien, *com.pers.*). Indeed, global 626 topography as used in this study is not efficient enough to predict KF variability

correctly in shallow water which leads to limited prediction between LAT and H₁. The
BPI computed on a finer scale than the one used here at a 150m resolution, was
expected to be a more reliable variable to explain KF distribution at shallower depths.
The availability of proper high resolution depth data over the entire extent of the
coast of Brittany remains a major issue. This leads us to data quality issues.

632

633 Data quality – limitations and scale problem

The digital echo sounding system successfully characterised KF in the surveyed

areas and again demonstrated its ability to characterise and map aquatic vegetation,

as shown and validated in previous studies (McRea et al. 1999; Piazzi et al. 2000;

637 Brown et al. 2002; Freitas et al. 2003; Riegl et al. 2005; Freitas et al. 2006).

638 Nevertheless, the acoustic detection showed some limitations. The first one is the

639 binary classification of substratum: rock or not. Since the survey was conducted with

640 quite a small vessel, the results are sensitive to weather conditions and it is

641 recommended that surveys be conducted under calm weather conditions (without

swell and wind). Typical problems include: false KF detection, inaccuracy in the

643 evaluation of the instantaneous depth and number of *Bottom Errors* increasing with

644 wave height, leading to a degraded acoustic dataset. Research is still under way and

645 better results are expected with the improvement of the clustering algorithm,

646 particularly on some critical points:

647 - A decrease in the number of *Bottom Errors*. This would reduce the number of
 648 misdetection of KF, especially on rocky substrata.

A better submerged aquatic vegetation classification. For this study, transects
 were mainly assessed in pure KF areas, but in some locations (particularly in
 very shallow waters), different submerged aquatic vegetation species could be

652 present (*Zostera marina* on the AW site, for example) and influence the 653 classifying procedure. Better knowledge of the different species spectral 654 signatures and taking them into account in the algorithm would reduce KF 655 false detection.

656

657 Another type of input data required with the highest possible quality is the substratum 658 layer. KF are predicted only where a rocky substrate is present, by way of a mask of 659 the rocky area. At the working scale, i.e., pixels of 150 m covering the entire coast of 660 Brittany, these prediction errors are without consequences, since the obtained map 661 provides the prediction of the distribution and the inter-site variation of KF 662 frequencies at a global scale. However, if this model was adapted to finer scales in 663 order to predict local distributions and intra-site variations of KF, the current scale of 664 the substratum layer (not better than 1:500,000) would not be efficient and would 665 have to be refined. High resolution Lidar data, for example, could overcome this 666 limitation at a local scale. The ability of Lidar data to finely characterise seabed 667 substratum types was tested in recent studies (Rosso et al. 2006; Méléder et al. 2007). 668 Its high vertical and horizontal accuracy make it suitable to map bottom roughness and 669 topography in great detail (although at a high cost!).

Obviously, a good balance should be sought in scale homogeneity between source data. For example, distribution laws as a function of depth used for model calibration and validation were established using field bathymetry data from echo soundings, whereas the model input raster dataset used for prediction was generated from various sources at various resolutions (a mix of Lidar, digital soundings and map soundings). Depth values from these two sources (map *vs.* field) exhibit discrepancies leading to misprediction. For example, KF could be predicted on the

677 map for an area where field depths were too great to be photosynthetically efficient or
678 conversely, some map areas where no KF were predicted corresponded to small
679 field depths allowing KF growth.

At the end of day, satellite data from SeaWiFS and AVHRR used are in accordance with the current working scale for prediction at regional scale. However, similarly to the substratum and bathymetry issues, image resolution limits the use of the model for prediction at a local scale. MERIS, an ocean colour sensor aboard the Envisat satellite, with a pixel resolution of 300 m, will also allow progress towards finer scales.

686 While progress is expected from regional to local levels, additional parameters may 687 have to be introduced in the model, as they may have an effect on KF at local scale, 688 and this would require new investigations. For example, the effect of faunal 689 abundance consuming primary producers or the swell effect through drag forces 690 and/or abrasion of rocky area by sand, fine topography, must be tested.

691

692 CONCLUSION

693 The proposed model enabled the prediction of KF frequency over time and space as 694 a function of water transparency and exposure, at a global scale that is effective in 695 the context of Climate Change. Its main limits were: a) predictions in shallow water 696 where the bathymetry at the working scale was not fine enough and b) the mostly 697 coarse scale of source data which did not allow local effects to be assessed. These 698 two limits could be overcome with an adaptation of the model, including refinement of 699 the working source data and the addition of new key parameters influencing 700 communities at local scales. Nevertheless, the current model is a good decisional 701 tool at a global scale, as in the context of Climate Change, allowing us to predict

702	changes in the KF depth limit which could be used as an indicator of the health of
703	these communities and those associated with them.
704	
705	
706	
707	
708	

710 REFERENCES

- 711 Bajjouk T, Guillaumont B, Populus J (1996) Application of airborne imaging
 712 spectrometry system data to intertidal seaweed classification and mapping.
 713 HYDROBIOLOGIA 327: 463-471
- Belsher T (1986) Etude bibliographique de quelques espèces planctoniques et benthiques de la Manche. Vol. 4 : Phytobenthos. Ifremer, Brest
- Boller ML, Carrington E (2006) The hydrodynamic effects of shape and size change during reconfiguration of a flexible macroalga. J EXP BIOL 209: 1894-1903
- Brinkman AG, Dankers N, van Stralen M (2002) An analysis of mussel bed habitats
 in the Dutch Wadden Sea. HELGOLAND MAR RES 56: 59-75
- Brown CJ, Cooper KM, Meadows WJ, Limpenny DS, Rees HL (2002) Small-scale
 mapping of sea-bed assemblages in the eastern English Channel using
 sidescan sonar and remote sampling techniques. ESTUAR COAST SHELF S
 54: 263-278
- Buck BH, Buchholz CM (2005) Response of offshore cultivated *Laminaria saccharina* to hydrodynamic forcing in the North Sea. AQUACULTURE 250: 674-691
- Cardoso PG, Raffaelli D, Lillebø AI, Verdelhos T, Pardal MA (2008) The impact of
 extreme flodding events and anthropogenic stressors on macrobenthic
 communities' dynamics. ESTUAR COAST SHELF S 76: 553-565
- Combe JP, Launeau P, Carrere V, Despan D, Meleder V, Barille L, Sotin C (2005)
 Mapping microphytobenthos biomass by non-linear inversion of visible infrared hyperspectral images. REMOTE SENS ENVIRON 98: 371-387
- Dayton PK, Tegner MJ, Parnell PE, Edwards PB (1992) Temporal and spatial
 patterns of disturbance and recovery in a kelp forest community. ECOL
 MONOGR 62: 421-445
- de Jonge VN, de Jong DJ (2002) 'Global Change' impact of inter-annual variation in
 water discharge as a driving factor of dredging and spoil disposal in the river
 Rhine system and of turbidity in the Wadden sea. ESTUAR COAST SHELF S
 55: 969-991
- De Oliveira E, Populus J, Guillaumont B (2006) Predictive modelling of coastal
 habitats using remote sensing data and fuzzy logic. EARSeL eProceedings 5:
 208-223
- 742 Denny M (1995) Predicting physical disturbance: mechanistic approaches to the
 743 study of survivorship on wave-swept shores. ECOL MONOGR 65: 371-418
- Denny M, Gaylord B (2002) The mechanics of wave-swept algae. J EXP BIOL 205:
 1355-1362
- Ferrat L, Pergent-Martini C, Roméo M (2003) Assessment of the use of biomarkers in aquatic plants for the evaluation of environmental quality: application to seagrasses. AQUAT TOXICOL 65: 187-204
- Freitas R, Rodrigues AM, Quintino V (2003) Benthic biotopes remote sensing using
 acoustics. J EXP MAR BIOL ECOL 285-286: 339-353
- Freitas R, Sampaio L, J. O, Rodrigues AM, Quintino V (2006) Validation of soft
 bottom benthic habitats identified by single-beam acoustics. MAR POLLUT
 BULL 53: 72-79
- Gaylord B, Denny M, Koehl MAR (2003) Modulation of wave forces on kelp canopies
 by alongshore currents. LIMNOL OCEANOGR 48: 860-871
- Gohin F, Loyer S, Lunven M, Labry C, Froidefond J-M, Delmas D, Huret M, Herbland
 A (2005) Satellite-derived parameters for biological modelling in coastal

758 waters: Illustration over the eastern continental shelf of the Bay of Biscay. 759 **REMOTE SENS ENVIRON 95: 29-46** 760 Greve TM, Krause-Jensen D (2005) Predictive modelling of eelgrass (Zostera 761 marina) depth limits. MAR BIOL 146: 849-858 762 Guillaumont B, Bajjouk T, Talec P (1997) Seaweed and remote sensing: a critical 763 review of sensors and data processing. In: Round FE, Chapman DJ (eds) 764 Progress in Phycological Research. Biopress, pp 213-282 765 Guillaumont B, Callens L, Dion P (1993) Spatial-Distribution and Quantification of 766 Fucus Species and Ascophyllum-Nodosum Beds in Intertidal Zones Using 767 Spot Imagery. HYDROBIOLOGIA 261: 297-305 768 Hurd CL (2000) Water motion, marine macroalgae, physiology, and production. J 769 PHYCOL 36: 453-472 770 IPCC (2001) Climate change 2001: the scientific basis, contribution of working group 771 I to the third assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate 772 Change (IPCC). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 773 Kelly NM, Fonseca M, Whitfield P (2001) Predictive mapping for management and 774 conservation of seagrass beds in North Carolina. AQUAT CONSERV 11: 437-775 451 776 Koutsikopoulos C, Beillois P, Leroy C, Taillefer F (1998) Temporal trends ans spatial 777 structures of the sea surface temperature in the Bay of Biscay. OCEANOL 778 ACTA 21: 335-344 779 Lundblad ER, Wright DJ, Naar DF, Donahue BT, Miller J, Larkin EM, Rinehart R 780 (2004) Classifying deep water benthic habitats around Tutulia, America Samoa 24th annual ESRI Conference, San Diego, CA 781 782 Marchalot C, Diner N, Berger L (2003) MOVIES+ documentation: Echo-integration by 783 depth layers using MOVIES+ software. IFREMER/DNIS/ESI, Plouzané 784 Markager S, Sand-Jensen K (1992) Light requirements and depth zonation of marine macroalgae. MAR ECOL-PROG SER 88: 83-92 785 786 McRea JE, Greene HG, O'Connell VM, Wakefield WW (1999) Mapping marine 787 habitats with high resolution sidescan sonar. OCEANOL ACTA 22: 679-686 788 MEDD (2005) Plan d'actions stratégique du MEDD pour les milieux marins. Tome 1 -Diagnostic et Orientations. Ministère de l'écologie et du développement 789 790 durable, Paris 791 Méléder V, Launeau P, Barillé L, Rincé Y (2003) Microphytobenthos assemblage 792 mapping by spatial visible-infrared remote sensing in a shellfish ecosystem. C 793 R BIOL 11: 437-451 794 Méléder V, Populus J, Rollet C (2007) Mapping seabed substrata using Lidar remote 795 sensing. MESH - Mapping European Seabed Habitats. 796 http://www.searchmesh.net/PDF/GMHM3_Mapping_Substrata_using_LIDAR. 797 pdf 798 Ménesguen A, Piriou JY, Dion P, Auby I (1997) Les "Marées vertes", un exemple 799 d'eutrophisation à macroalgues. In: Dauvin J-C (ed) Les biocénoses marines 800 et littorales françaises des côtes atlantiques, Manche et Mer du Nord. 801 Synhtèse, menaces et perspectives. MNHN, Paris, pp 212-218 802 Norderhaug KM, Christie H, Rinde E (2002) Colonisation of kelp imitations by 803 epiphyte and holdfast fauna; a study of mobility patterns. MAR BIOL 141: 965-804 973 Piazzi L, Acunto S, Cinelli F (2000) Mapping of Posidonia oceanica beds around Elba 805 806 Island (western Mediterranean) with integration of direct and indirect methods. 807 OCEANOL ACTA 23: 339-346

- Pope ND, Widdows J, Brinsley MD (2006) Estimation of bed shear stress using the turbulent kinetic energy approach. A comparison of annular flume and field data. CONT SHELF RES 26: 959-970
- Riegl BM, Moyer RP, Morris LJ, Virnstein RW, Purkis SJ (2005) Distribution and
 seasonal biomass of drift macroalgae in the Indian River Lagoon (Florida,
 USA) estimated with acoustic seafloor classification (QTCView, Echo*plus*). J
 EXP MAR BIOL ECOL 326: 89-104
- Roff JC, Taylor ME (2000) National frameworks for marine conservation A
 hierarchical geophysical approach. AQUAT CONSERV 10: 209-223
- Rosso PH, Ustin SL, Hastings A (2006) Use of lidar changes associated with
 Spartina invasion in San Francisco Bay marshes. REMOTE SENS ENVIRON
 100: 295-306
- 820 SHOM (1994-2005) Cartes sédimentologiques (G). SHOM
- Sivertsen K (1997) Geographic and environmental factors affecting the distribution of
 kelp beds and barren grounds and changes in biota associated with kelp
 reduction at sites along the Norwegian coast. CAN J FISH AQUAT SCI 54:
 2872-2887
- Stevens T, Connolly RM (2004) Testing the utility of abiotic surrogates for marine
 habitat mapping at scales relevant to management. BIOL CONSERV 119:
 351-362
- Toms JD, Lesperance ML (2003) Piecewise regression: A tool for identifying
 ecological thresholds. ECOLOGY 84: 2034-2041
- Vaslet D, Larsonneur C, Auffret J-P (1979) Carte des sédiments superficiels de la
 Manche. BRGM/CNEXO
- Vogel S (1994) Life in Moving Fluids (2nd edn). Princeton University Press,
 Princeton, pp 467 pp.
- Zacharias MA, Morris MC, Howes DE (1999) Large scale characterization of intertidal
 communities using a predictive model. J EXP MAR BIOL ECOL 239: 223-242
- Zacharias MA, Roff JC (2001) Explanations of patterns of intertidal diversity at
 regional scales. J BIOGEOGR 28: 471-483
- 838 839

840 Figure legend

841

Figure 1. Location of the 10 sites. Black star: sites used to build the model; whitestar: sites used to validate it.

844

Figure 2. Echo-integration by depth layers in dense kelp forest (KF) on a selected part of the acoustic transect. A: bottom line – Seafloor; B: offset line – down limit of the Kelp forest integrated layer (0.2 meters above bottom); C: Top limit of the integrated layer (2.2 meters above bottom). The vertical lines delimit each ESU (20 ping width).

850

Figure 3. Kelp forest frequency *vs.* depth. Example from the site Molène, Mo (cf. Figure 1). Observations (O) are obtained from echo-sounding and are fitted using piecewise regression (bold line), fixing the two breakpoints, H_1 and H_2 , and the slope between these points, Slope₂. Fit is expressed with its prediction (fine line) and confidence (dashed line) intervals at 95 %.

856

Figure 4. Weekly water transparency, expressed in K_{PAR}, derived from SeaWiFS data
averaged over the 1998-2004 period. a/ Sites used for model building; b/ Sites used
for model validation.

860

Figure 5. Weekly temperature, expressed in SST, derived from AVHRR data averaged over the two past decades. a/ Sites used for model building; b/ Sites used for model validation.

864

Figure 6. Example of an echogram along a selected acoustic transect (from GI site, cf. Figure 1). The results of the cluster analysis classification procedure of KF presence (LAMINAIRE) or absence (empty box) are presented in table above echogram with the corresponding bathymetry (m). For *BOTT-ERR* definition, see Materials and Methods section.

870

Figure 7. KF frequency observed *vs.* predicted with the four significant models for depth ranging from H₁ to H₂ at the five sites used to build model: AW, Mo, Me, Tr and Gr. a/ pred_mod1: model using SSTmin only (eqs. 11 to 13), b/ pred_mod2: model using K_{PAR}min (eqs. 14 to 16), c/ pred_mod3: model using K_{PAR}min and Vmax^{1.5} (eqs. 14, 16 and 17), d/ pred_mod4: model using SSTmin and Vmax^{1.5} (eqs. 11, 13 and 18). Dark lines illustrate the relationship observation = prediction.

877

Figure 8. KF frequency observed *vs.* predicted using BPI (eq. 9), for depth less than H₁ at the five sites used to build model: AW, Mo, Me, Tr and Gr. Dark lines illustrate the relationship observation = prediction.

881

Figure 9. Model validation. KF frequency observed *vs.* predicted at the five sites used to valid model: Au, Br, Gl, He, Ml. a/ prediction for depth ranging from H₁ to H₂ using K_{PAR} min and Vmax^{1.5} (pred_mod3; eqs. 14, 16 and 17), or SSTmin and Vmax^{1.5} (pred_mod4; eqs. 11, 13 and 18) when no turbidity data are available; b/ prediction for depth less than H₁ using BPI (eq. 19).

887

888	Figure 10. Predictive map of KF presence percentage. Three zooms are shown to
889	illustrate results: AW, Br and GI, respectively in black, red and blue boxes.
890	
891	
892	
893	
894	
895	
896	







Depth (m)





Classif 2 LAMINAIRE LAMINAIRE LAMINAIRE	BOTT-ERR BOTT-ERR BOTT-ERR BOTT-ERR	LAMINAIRE LAMINAIRE LAMINAIRE LAMINAIRE LAMINAIRE LAMINAIRE LAMINAIRE	BOTT-EAR BOTT-EAR BOTT-EAR LAMINAIRE LAMINAIRE BOTT-EAR LAMINAIRE	LAMINAIRE LAMINA
9.63 10,13 0,03	0,33 10,23 9,55 9,55	10,23 10,53 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,83 10,53	12,03 12,03 12,03 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,14 12,14 12,14 12,14 13,04 12,84 13,04 12,84 13,04	12,84 12,54 12,54 12,54 12,24 12,24 13,04 13,04 13,04 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 12,84 13,04 12,84
12:58:49 12:58:49	12:58:51 12:58:52 12:58:52 12:58:53 12:58:53 12:58:54 12:58:54	12:58:55 12:58:55 12:58:55 12:58:55 12:59:01 12:59:01 12:59:01 12:59:01	12:59:05 12:59:05 12:59:05 12:59:06 12:59:09 12:59:09 12:59:10 12:59:10 12:59:10 12:59:10 12:59:11 12:59:13 12:59:13 12:59:14	12:59:16 12:59:19 12:59:19 12:59:29 12:
			Will He	MAN MILL MILLI
			W WW WY	With Will Malle
4	23		All My My	<u>MANUL INNI</u>
				MAN MAL MANAL
	1 11 - 5		HI HI H	Min My Malle
		3		UN UN UNI
5 m	-			







KF Prediction (%)



<u>Table 1</u>. Environmental parameters used in stepwise regression processes. Training sites are underlined, the others are validation sites.

	K _{PAR} year	K _{PAR} growth	K _{PAR} min	K _{PAR} max	SSTyear	SSTgrowth	SSTmin	SSTmax	Vmax
AW	0.201	0.190	0.175	0.261	12.4	11.9	9.4	15.1	1.12
<u>Gr</u>	0.265	0.194	0.202	0.456	13.6	12.9	8.7	18.7	0.27
<u>Me</u>	0.215	0.191	0.183	0.268	12.7	11.6	9.1	16.4	0.89
<u>Mo</u>	0.197	0.173	0.160	0.274	12.8	12.1	9.6	16.1	0.27
<u>Tr</u>	0.202	0.176	0.176	0.281	12.7	11.5	9.0	16.5	0.95
Au	0.205	0.171	0.164	0.321	13.1	12.7	8.9	18.5	0.44
Br	0.220	0.205	0.189	0.283	13.0	11.7	8.3	18.2	0.87
GI	0.218	0.182	0.164	0.297	13.5	12.8	9.3	18.3	0.27
He	0.222	0.197	0.182	0.337	13.0	11.6	8.6	18.0	0.87
MI	-	-	-	-	13.7	12.9	9.1	20.2	0.1

<u>Table 2.</u> Breakpoints H_1 and H_2 and the slope between them, Slope₂, fitted using piecewise regressions. All regressions and fit parameters are significant (p ≤ 0.01) except for sites Au, He and MI (n.s: not significant). Training sites are underlined, the others are validation sites.

	AW	<u>Gr</u>	<u>Me</u>	<u>Mo</u>	<u>Tr</u>	Au	Br	GI	He	MI
adjusted R ²	0.92	0.96	0.88	0.90	0.96	0.80	0.92	0.98	0.98	0.97
$H_1 \pm std$	19.9 ± 0.4	15.5 ± 0.4	19.3 ± 0.6	20.6 ± 0.5	18.8 ± 0.4	n.s.	13.2 ± 0.6	15.2 ± 0.3	15.5 ± 0.1	n.s.
$Slope_2 \pm std$	-11.5 ± 1.5	-8.9 ± 0.8	-8.8 ± 0.9	-12.5 ± 0.8	-9.3 ± 1.1	-3.6 ± 0.4	-4.9 ± 0.4	-6.0 ± 0.2	n.s.	n.s.
$H_2 \pm std$	25.2 ± 0.5	19.6 ± 0.4	23.4 ± 0.6	24.5 ± 0.6	23.8 ± 0.4	22.3 ± 1.6	21.7 ± 0.8	25.8 ± 0.4	27.8 ± 1.4	22.3 ± 0.8

<u>Table 3.</u> Fraction of incident light (in %), Fr, reaching KF depth limit H_2 . Fr values are calculated (eq. 1) for four water transparency variables: K_{PAR} year, K_{PAR} growth, K_{PAR} min and K_{PAR} max. Fr is not estimated for the site MI, because no turbidity data are available. Training sites are underlined, the others are validation sites.

	Fr_{H_2} (KPARyear)	$\mathit{Fr}_{\!_{H_2}}$ (KPARgrowth)	$\mathit{Fr}_{\!H_2}$ (Kparmin)	$Fr_{\!H_2}$ (KPARmax)
AW	0.66	0.80	1.26	0.15
<u>Gr</u>	0.57	2.32	1.95	0.62
<u>Me</u>	0.64	1.17	1.36	0.18
<u>Mo</u>	0.80	1.41	1.98	0.12
<u>Tr</u>	0.78	1.51	1.46	0.12
Au	1.04	2.19	2.56	0.08
Br	0.84	1.17	1.65	0.21
GI	0.36	0.91	1.44	0.05
He	0.21	0.42	0.63	0.01
MI	-	-	-	-

<u>Table 4.</u> Prediction of KF depth limit H_2 . Observed H_2 are from piecewise regression (Table 2), predicted and simulated H_2 are from predictive model (pred_mod3 or pred_mod4*) but simulated ones follow varied scenarios (see text for detail). Training sites are underlined, the others are validation sites.

Site	Observed H ₂	Predicted H ₂	Simulated H _{2(0.01)}	Simulated H _{2(0.02)}	Simulated H _{2(0.05)}
<u>AW</u>	25.2 ± 0.5	25.0 ± 0.6	23.8 ± 0.6	22.4 ± 0.6	19.0 ± 0.6
<u>Gr*</u>	19.6 ± 0.4	20.2 ± 0.0	21.2 ± 0.4	22.8 ± 0.4	25.5 ± 0.4
<u>Me</u>	23.4 ± 0.6	23.3 ± 0.4	22.1 ± 0.4	20.8 ± 0.4	17.2 ± 0.4
<u>Mo</u>	24.5 ± 0.6	24.3 ± 0.5	23.3 ± 0.5	22.0 ± 0.5	18.4 ± 0.5
<u>Tr</u>	23.8 ± 0.4	24.3 ± 0.8	23.1 ± 0.8	21.9 ± 0.8	18.2 ± 0.8
Au*	22.3 ± 1.6	20.3 ± 0.1	21.4 ± 0.1	23.0 ± 0.1	25.7 ± 0.1
Br	21.7 ± 0.8	22.5 ± 0.5	21.26 ± 0.5	20.0 ± 0.5	16.4 ± 0.5
GI	25.8 ± 0.4	24.0 ± 0.1	22.8 ± 0.1	21.6 ± 0.1	17.9 ± 0.1
He	27.8 ± 1.4	23.3 ± 1.6	22.1 ± 1.6	20.9 ± 1.6	17.3 ± 1.6
MI*	22.3 ± 0.8	21.8 ± 0.0	23.0 ± 0.0	24.6 ± 0.0	27.2 ± 0.0