

# Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	Seaweed Aquaculture for Human Foods, Land-Based	
Copyright Year	2011	
Copyright Holder	Springer Science + Business Media, LLC	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	<b>Pereira</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Rui</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	CIMAR/CIIMAR – Centre for Marine and Environmental Research
	Organization	University of Porto
	Address	Rua dos Bragas 289, 4050–123, Porto, Portugal
	Email	rpereira@ciimar.up.pt
Author	Family Name	<b>Yarish</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Charles</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	Departments of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology and Marine Sciences
	Organization	University of Connecticut
	Address	One University Place, Stamford, CT, 06901–2315, USA
	Email	charles.yarish@uconn.edu
Author	Family Name	<b>Critchley</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Alan T.</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Acadian Seaplants Limited
	Address	30 Brown Avenue, Dartmouth, NS, B3B 1X8, Canada
	Email	

# S

1

## 2 **Seaweed Aquaculture for Human** 3 **Foods, Land-Based**

4 RUI PEREIRA<sup>1</sup>, CHARLES YARISH<sup>2</sup>, ALAN T. CRITCHLEY<sup>3</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>University of Porto

6 Porto, Portugal

7 <sup>2</sup>University of Connecticut

8 Stamford, CT, USA

9 <sup>3</sup>Acadian Seaplants Limited

10 Dartmouth, NS, Canada

### 11 **Article Outline**

12 Glossary

13 Definition of the Subject and Its Importance

14 Introduction

15 Past and Present Uses of Seaweeds

16 Seaweed Aquaculture

17 Introduction of the IMTA Concept

18 Physiological Considerations for the Production of

19 Seaweeds in Land-Based Cultivation Systems

20 Examples of Successful On-Land Cultivation

21 Enterprises

22 Future Directions

23 Acknowledgments

24 Bibliography

### 25 **Glossary**

26 **Algae** A group of autotrophic organisms, containing  
27 chlorophyll a and sometimes other accessory pig-  
28 ments, which are able to convert solar energy into  
29 chemical energy via photosynthesis.

30 **Aquaculture** The farming of autotrophic and hetero-  
31 trophic organisms in aquatic systems.

32 **Bioextraction** An environmental management strat-  
33 egy by which nutrients are removed from an aquatic  
34 ecosystem through the harvest of enhanced biolog-  
35 ical production, including the aquaculture of

suspension-feeding shellfish and/or marine  
macroalgae. 36 37

**Ecosystem** Is the grouping of all living organisms  
occupying a particular unit of space and interacting  
with each other and their environment. 38 39 40

**EPA** Eicosapentaenoic acid is an omega-3 polyunsat-  
urated fatty acid, sometimes presented with the  
chemical notation 20:5(n-3). 41 42 43

**HDL** High-density lipoprotein; composed of a high  
proportion of protein and relatively little choles-  
terol; high levels of HDL are thought to be associ-  
ated with a decreased risk of coronary heart disease  
and atherosclerosis. 44 45 46 47 48

**Heteromorphic Life Histories** Life histories in which  
there are clear morphological differences between  
the different stages of the life cycle, i.e., individuals  
of the sporophyte and gametophyte stages are mor-  
phologically different and distinguishable. In some  
cases, such as the genus *Porphyra* and members of  
the kelps, there are macroscopic and microscopic  
stages alternating life cycle phases. 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56

**IMTA** Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture is a form  
of aquaculture in which organisms from different  
trophic levels, with complementary resource needs,  
are produced in the same system. Typically, these  
aquaculture systems integrate the production of a  
fed organism, such as fish or shrimp, with that of  
extractive organic aquaculture such as shellfish and  
the extractive inorganic aquaculture of seaweed. 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64

**Isomorphic Life Histories** Life histories in which  
there are no distinguishing morphological differ-  
ences between the different stages of the life cycle,  
i.e., the individuals of the sporophyte (diploid, 2n)  
and gametophyte (haploid, n) stages are morpho-  
logically identical and can be distinguished only  
when their respective, characteristic reproductive  
structures are present, e.g., *Chondrus crispus* and  
*Palmaria palmata*. 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73

**LDL** Low-density lipoprotein; a lipoprotein that  
transports cholesterol in the blood, composed of 74 75

76 a moderate amount of protein and a large amount  
77 of cholesterol; high levels of LDL are thought to be  
78 associated with an increased risk of coronary heart  
79 disease and atherosclerosis.

80 **Macroalgae** A group of macroscopic algae of which at  
81 least one part of their life history is multicellular  
82 and visible with unaided eye.

83 **Mariculture** Farming of autotrophic and heterotro-  
84 phic organisms in marine systems, i.e., using  
85 seawater.

86 **Polysaccharides** Complex structural polymers. They  
87 have a structural function in the alga but may be  
88 extracted industrially to provide a range of poly-  
89 saccharides used for their rheological properties,  
90 e.g., agar, carrageenan, and alginic acid.

91 **Seaweed** A group of macroscopic, marine autotrophic  
92 algae.

93 **Sea-Vegetables** A group of macroscopic, marine auto-  
94 trophic algae, also called seaweeds, seaplants, or  
95 macroalgae; they may be used as vegetables for  
96 human consumption or raw materials for a range  
97 of industrial, commercially important extracts such  
98 as bioactives or polysaccharides.

## 99 Definition of the Subject and Its Importance

100 The production of seaweeds for human foods in land-  
101 based aquaculture, is an activity poorly presented by  
102 the scientific community. Of the thousands of seaweed  
103 species identified, a remarkably small subset is actually  
104 farmed in the marine environment (i.e., open water)  
105 and even fewer are grown in land-based aquaculture  
106 systems. Of those that are used in land-based systems,  
107 most are monocultures grown for specific high value  
108 uses. For instance, *C. crispus*, *P. palmata*, and  
109 *Saccharina latissima* are grown for human consump-  
110 tion; *Chondrocanthus* and the “*Trailiella*” stage of  
111 *Bonnemaisonia/Asparagopsis* for the cosmetic industry;  
112 and *Gracilaria* spp., *Palmaria* and *Ulva* spp. as feed for  
113 abalone). Given the many centuries history of terres-  
114 trial production of land plants for human and animal  
115 feed crops and the tremendous efforts given over to  
116 the selection and crossbreeding of these plants, by  
117 contrast, selection and improvement of seaweed crops  
118 is very much in its infancy. Even more so, of all the  
119 relatively small number of seaweeds which are domes-  
120 ticated for open water cultivation, even fewer species

121 have actually been tested in land-based culture systems.  
122 This is in part due to the lack of reliable, domesticated  
123 species and their selected strains suitable for the rigors  
124 of on-land cultivation, and in part due to the complex-  
125 ities of life histories and the lack of understanding of  
126 the environmental regulation of growth. There is,  
127 therefore, a need to test other species since open water  
128 systems may not be appropriate for niche cultivation  
129 applications. The historical development of open water  
130 cultivation and multi-species pond cultivation may  
131 have originated in Asia (for discussion and references  
132 see later section); however, modern land-based aqua-  
133 culture of seaweeds began with the work of John Ryther  
134 at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute (WHOI) in the  
135 late 1960s through the mid-1970s [1]. Land-based Inte-  
136 grated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture systems (IMTA)  
137 may contribute to the development of sustainable fed  
138 aquaculture systems by minimizing environmental  
139 impacts (i.e., removing excess dissolved inorganic  
140 nutrients, dissolved organic matter (DOM), and partic-  
141 ulate organic matter (POM). Furthermore, while the  
142 systems may yet have to be optimized geographically  
143 and in relation to the species utilized, the controlled  
144 production of seaweed biomass in these systems may  
145 offer a reliable and safe source of food or ingredients for  
146 human consumption, fish feeds, as well as a source of  
147 valuable compounds for biotechnological applications.  
148 As a special focus, this entry will discuss the importance  
149 of land-based seaweed aquaculture systems and their  
150 global utilization.

## 151 Introduction

152 Seaweed is a popular term used to collectively describe  
153 marine macroalgae. Among this large and diverse  
154 assemblage of photosynthetic marine organisms are  
155 a number of species with a varied array of uses; when  
156 used for human consumption, they are more popularly  
157 known as “sea-vegetables.” This collective of conven-  
158 ience includes the macroscopic, multicellular, red,  
159 green, and brown algae [2]. Seaweeds are often abun-  
160 dant and predominantly found in the near-shore  
161 marine ecosystems in all the oceans of the world. As  
162 a result of their diverse intercellular compounds  
163 including alginic acid, carrageenans, and agar, seaweeds  
164 have very important industrial applications [3, 4].  
165 Being important primary producers in marine

166 ecosystems, macroalgae are an integral component of  
167 near-shore environment and form a fundamental part  
168 of the basis of the photosynthetic food chains, playing  
169 a role similar to that of terrestrial plants [5]. In these  
170 natural environments, seaweeds often perform a large  
171 number of ecosystem services [6] (e.g., nurseries, nutri-  
172 ent cycling, and reduction of coastal erosion among  
173 others), which are neither fully costed nor often appre-  
174 ciated by the public or users of the marine environ-  
175 ment. Humans have wild harvested (sometimes called  
176 “wild crafting”) and cultivated seaweeds for several  
177 centuries for animal and human consumption as well  
178 as other applications including valuable sources of  
179 phycocolloids and most recently, researched as feed-  
180 stock for biofuels and carbon sequestration [7–11].

181 Some seaweeds may attain lengths exceeding 90 m  
182 or more (e.g., the kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera*), while  
183 others may grow only a few centimeters per year.  
184 Many seaweeds have isomorphic life cycles (e.g.,  
185 *C. crispus*, *P. palmata*, where the gametophyte and  
186 sporophyte generations are morphologically similar),  
187 while others have heteromorphic life histories (e.g., the  
188 genus *Porphyra* and many species of brown algae  
189 including the kelps, where the generations are morpho-  
190 logically distinct). The morphology of various seaweeds  
191 may include multicellular, highly differentiated kelp  
192 with their organs such as blades, complex stipes, and  
193 their anchoring structure referred to as haptera. Other  
194 multicellular seaweeds may be small and bushy with flat  
195 or cylindrical axes (*Gracilaria*), while others may form  
196 sheet-like specimens of one or two layers of cells thick-  
197 ness (*Porphyra*, *Ulva*, *Monostroma*). Some macroalgae  
198 may be encrusting forms, while yet others may have the  
199 ability to precipitate calcium carbonate to varying  
200 degrees and be lightly calcified yet remain flexible  
201 (e.g., *Padina*) or fully calcareous and occur as prostrate  
202 crusts (e.g., *Lithothamnion*, *Phymatolithon*) or fully  
203 articulated, e.g., many coralline species such as  
204 *Corallina* and *Jania*.

205 This entry will discuss the advantages for land-  
206 based aquaculture of seaweeds for human foods and  
207 animal consumption. The first section gives a brief  
208 introduction to seaweed uses, both past and present,  
209 followed by an introduction as to how seaweed aqua-  
210 culture has been practiced more recently. Section 3  
211 briefly explains the concept of integrated multi-trophic  
212 aquaculture (IMTA), since this can also be an integral

213 component of land-based seaweed aquaculture sys-  
214 tems. Section 4 presents some of the particularities of  
215 intensive, land-based seaweed production, which make  
216 it different from the more common extensive, open  
217 water seaweed mariculture. Section 5 presents success  
218 stories of land-based seaweed aquaculture. The entry  
219 concludes with a discussion of the potential impacts of  
220 the development of land-based seaweed aquaculture as  
221 well as future directions and perspectives of research in  
222 this area.

### 223 **Past and Present Uses of Seaweeds**

224 Seaweeds have been used in the human diet since  
225 ancient times. Although Asian food culture has seen  
226 the most prominent use of seaweed for direct human  
227 consumption, there is also recent evidence of the use of  
228 seaweeds by prehistoric humans, in other parts of the  
229 world. Dillehay et al. [12], in an archeological study  
230 conducted in Monte Verde, southern Chile, identified  
231 remains of nine species of marine algae, including  
232 *Durvillaea antarctica* (“cochayuyo”), *Porphyra* sp.  
233 (“luche”), *Gracilaria* sp. (“pelillo”), and *Sargassum* sp.  
234 These authors also suggest that some algae may have  
235 been burned, suggesting that they had been dried to  
236 facilitate transportation and/or storage, or were even  
237 cooked and could have been used for their medicinal  
238 properties as well. Erlandson et al. [13] discussed the  
239 cold water, coastal fringing kelp beds on the Pacific  
240 Coast of the Americas as being a route, or  
241 a “highway,” by which early travelers made their way  
242 in northerly and southerly migrations. In Asian and  
243 Pacific Island countries, the tradition is to consume  
244 seaweeds as raw or cooked sea vegetables [14–16].  
245 In Western countries, the principal use of seaweeds  
246 has been as a source of phycocolloids (alginate, carra-  
247 geenan, and agar) which are structural, thickening, and  
248 gelling agents for various industrial applications,  
249 including uses in textile, paper, food, toothpastes,  
250 shampoos, cosmetics, and pharmaceutical industries  
251 [3, 4].

252 There is presently an increasing interest by the  
253 general public regarding the impacts of the human  
254 diet in general health and the potential health benefits  
255 in the consumption of selective seaweeds in a varied  
256 human diet. In fact, the physiological or pharmacolog-  
257 ical functions of food factors were classified as the third

258 function of food in addition to the nutritional and  
259 sensory roles as the primary and secondary function,  
260 respectively [17, 18]. Among the bioactive compounds  
261 known to have an impact in the human health, there  
262 are those described as prebiotic functional ingredients.  
263 These are defined as nondigestible, selectively  
264 fermented compounds that stimulate the growth and/  
265 or activity of beneficial gut microbiota which, in turn,  
266 confer health benefits on the host [19].

267 Due to their varied nutritional properties, seaweeds  
268 are the subject of research seeking new, natural sources  
269 of functional ingredients for food. Table 1 presents  
270 a summary of that information [20–22]. *Porphyra*, for  
271 instance, contains high levels of protein (25–50%),  
272 vitamins (higher vitamin C than in oranges), trace  
273 minerals, and dietary fibers [23]. This alga contains  
274 nearly 17 types of free amino acids, including taurine  
275 which controls blood cholesterol levels and is thought  
276 to prevent obesity [24, 25]. Several reviews have been  
277 published outlining the nutritional properties of sea-  
278 weeds (e.g., [21, 22, 26]), the most recent of which  
279 include the comprehensive review of Holdt and Kraan  
280 [27] and another on antioxidants from macroalgae by  
281 Cornish and Garbary [28]. The review of Holdt and  
282 Kraan [27] is particularly valuable since it also details  
283 the regulatory environment affecting marketing and  
284 use of active compounds from seaweeds in human  
285 applications. On the other hand, Cornish and Garbary  
286 [28] consider the application of seaweed antioxidants  
287 in foods, food supplements, nutraceuticals, and medi-  
288 cine from the perspective of benefits to human health.  
289 The review provides examples not only from labora-  
290 tory studies but also from clinical trials where antiox-  
291 idants derived from seaweeds may provide major  
292 health benefits that warrant subsequent investigative  
293 studies and possible utilization. Furthermore, those  
294 authors advocate that the direct consumption of sea-  
295 weed products for their antioxidant composition alone  
296 provides a useful alternative to nonnatural substances,  
297 while simultaneously providing worthwhile nutritional  
298 benefits. Finally, the review by Cornish and Garbay [28]  
299 includes a comprehensive listing of algal species evalu-  
300 ated for antioxidant activity and potential applications  
301 of detected compounds.

302 Burtin [26] elaborates upon the nutritional value of  
303 seaweeds as they are rich in polysaccharides and dietary  
304 fibers, minerals, proteins and amino acids, lipids and

fatty acids, and micronutrients such as vitamins (vita- 305  
min B<sub>12</sub>, C, and E) and polyphenols (phlorotannins). 306  
This author concludes that from a nutritional stand- 307  
point, the main beneficial properties of seaweeds are 308  
their high mineral (iodine, calcium) and soluble die- 309  
tary fiber contents, the occurrence of vitamin B<sub>12</sub> and 310  
specific components such as fucoxanthin, fucosterol, 311  
and phlorotannins. Burtin also states that seaweeds can 312  
be regarded as an underexploited source of health- 313  
promoting molecules for food processing and the 314  
increasingly important nutraceutical industries [26]. 315

316 Kumar et al. [21] reviewed the presence and value of 316  
various bioactive substances which may be derived 317  
from certain seaweeds, namely, polysaccharides and 318  
related compounds; proteins and related substances; 319  
lipids and related compounds; minerals; vitamins and 320  
antioxidant compounds. These authors concluded that 321  
seaweeds are a low calorie food source, particularly 322  
from the nutritional point of view, since they have 323  
high concentrations of certain minerals, vitamins, pro- 324  
teins, and indigestible carbohydrates. Seaweeds also 325  
have low lipid content, but the lipids present are of 326  
a high quality in terms of their nutritional value. In 327  
fact, Blouin et al. [29] suggested that native, Atlantic 328  
species of *Porphyra* such as *Porphyra amplissima* and 329  
*Porphyra umbilicalis* have potential in foods for North 330  
American consumers. They analyzed the fatty acid 331  
content of freshly collected *P. umbilicalis* and reported 332  
that eicosapentaenoic acid [EPA; 20:5 (n-3)] and 333  
palmitic acid were the most common fatty acids. 334  
Those authors reported that the concentration of fatty 335  
acids found in wild collected *P. umbilicalis* (i.e., 3.2 mg 336  
EPA g dry wt<sup>-1</sup> or 74 mg EPA 100 g fresh wt<sup>-1</sup>) was not 337  
high enough to make this a primary source of daily 338  
omega-3 fatty acids, but the favorable n-3/n-6 ratio 339  
(2–3:1) in these species constituted an interesting 340  
nutritional value. In their review, Kumar et al. [21] 341  
concluded that the quality of protein and lipids in 342  
seaweeds generally is as acceptable as those present in 343  
other dietary vegetables due to high content of essential 344  
amino acids and relatively higher levels of unsaturated 345  
fatty acids. Furthermore, all of these authors suggested 346  
that seaweeds exhibit antioxidant, antimutagenic, anti- 347  
coagulant, anticancer, and antitumor activity. In many 348  
cases, these properties were actually tested and proved 349  
in vivo and in vitro, as follows. Zhang et al. [30] showed 350  
that a sulfated polysaccharide fraction from *Porphyra* 351

352 *haitanensis* could be used to compensate the decline in  
353 total antioxidant capacity and activities of antioxidant  
354 enzymes. The implications of these findings are that  
355 seaweeds and their extracts might play a role in  
356 retarding the aging process. In addition, unprocessed  
357 powder from the brown seaweed *Fucus vesiculosus* has  
358 proven to have strong antioxidant capacities [31]. The  
359 authors concluded that the polyphenol (phlorotannin)  
360 content of *F. vesiculosus* seemed to provide the main  
361 antioxidant properties. Other research using polysac-  
362 charides extracted from *Porphyra yezoensis* demon-  
363 strated anticoagulant [32] and immune-stimulating  
364 activities [33, 34]. Saito et al. [34] showed that *Porphyra*  
365 peptides induced a significant reduction in the blood  
366 pressure of hypertensive human patients. Various  
367 fucoidans, common sulfated polysaccharides of various  
368 commercially important brown algae, have been tested  
369 in several studies in rats and in humans, showing ben-  
370 efiticial effects as an anticoagulant, antithrombotic,  
371 antiviral, and anticancer agent ([35, 36]; see also  
372 www.marinova.com). Anticancer properties of sea-  
373 weeds are also reported in the studies of Teas et al.  
374 [37] and Yang et al. [38] among others. In particular,  
375 the results of Teas et al. [37] suggested that a diet  
376 containing 5% brown seaweed (i.e., *Laminaria*) was  
377 effective in delaying the time for chemically induced  
378 tumor development in rats. In turn, Yang et al. [38]  
379 investigated the association between the intake of  
380 *Porphyra* (red seaweed) and *Undaria* (brown seaweed)  
381 and the risk of breast cancer, in a case-control study.  
382 The authors concluded that the consumption of  
383 *Undaria pinnatifida* did not have any significant asso-  
384 ciations with the disease but the results also suggested  
385 that high intake of *Porphyra* may decrease the risk of  
386 breast cancer.

387 Bocanegra et al. [22] reviewed the major physico-  
388 chemical properties of seaweed fiber, the nutritional  
389 properties of the seaweed, and their value as functional  
390 foods. In terms of physicochemical properties of the  
391 fiber, the authors highlighted the hydration properties  
392 and viscosity, the oil retention and fat absorption, the  
393 fermentability, and binding capacity (cation-exchange  
394 capacity responsible for heavy metal biosorption).  
395 As for the nutritional properties of the seaweed,  
396 Bocanegra et al. [22] pointed to numerous studies  
397 that demonstrated the chemical and nutritional impor-  
398 tance of the seaweed, namely in relation to

399 bioavailability, effect on growth and body weight,  
400 effects on digestion, excretion and gastrointestinal  
401 functions, effects on lowering cholesterol and blood  
402 pressure, antioxidant activities and effects on glucose  
403 metabolism. Those authors concluded, however, that  
404 although some antioxidant compounds are present in  
405 algae, other compounds that are also present, such as  
406 the arsenic (As), can induce a poor endogenous anti-  
407 oxidant status. Therefore, the use of marine algae in  
408 herbal medications or excessive consumption of some  
409 of these organisms requires some caution. As with all  
410 things, seaweeds should be consumed in moderation as  
411 part of a well-balanced diet. Bocanegra et al. [22] also  
412 highlighted that, at that point there were no data avail-  
413 able on the changes that cooking (e.g., microwave oven,  
414 traditional oven, frying, boiling, etc.) might impact on  
415 the properties of algal constituents. It is clear that much  
416 more work remains to fully realize the full nutritional  
417 and health-promoting potential of the consumption of  
418 seaweeds. These authors also illustrated that although  
419 numerous beneficial health properties can be attrib-  
420 uted to seaweed components and extracts, robust stud-  
421 ies of potential functional foods containing seaweeds  
422 have yet to be carried out, namely, the determination of  
423 different matrices affecting their technological and  
424 nutritional properties. The points raised by Holdt and  
425 Kraan [27], regarding the requirements of various  
426 food regulatory agencies, particularly with regard to  
427 novel foods and ingredients, should also be carefully  
428 considered.

429 As marine organisms with unique structural and  
430 biochemical compositions, seaweeds could be respon-  
431 sibly exploited for their multifunctional properties in  
432 the form of food, energy, medicine and cosmetics, and  
433 as biotechnological tools. In recent times, the use of  
434 seaweeds in a wide variety of biotechnological applica-  
435 tions has become more common. Sahoo et al. [39] and  
436 Gantt et al. [40] pointed out the advantages of the  
437 use of *Porphyra* as a model organism for both applied  
438 and basic research. In fact, part of the genome of  
439 *P. umbilicalis* and the transcriptome of *Porphyra*  
440 *purpurea* have been recently released by the Joint  
441 Genome Institute (U.S. Department of Energy, www.  
442 jgi.doe.gov/genome-projects/ Program CSP2008; see  
443 also www.porphyra.org) and made available to the  
444 public. The aforementioned authors specifically point  
445 to the possibility of establishing several pure lines. In

particular, the small genome size, which is estimated to be  $2.6 \times 10^8$  base pairs consisting of three chromosomes and also the short generation time (1–3 months) of the alga are suitable traits for genetic analysis. The “*Porphyra* Genome” project, currently underway, will be one of the first to sequence the full genome of a multicellular red seaweed species and provide valuable information for biotechnological applications [40]. Other macroalgal genome projects include that of *C. crispus*, likely to be published in early 2011 (Jonas Collén, personal communication) and *Ectocarpus* [102]. As has been experienced with microalgal research (see [41, 42] and references therein), such major advances allow for rapid implementation of genetic engineering techniques that may modify seaweeds, thereby increasing their biotechnology applications. Some caution however needs to be applied to applications of such techniques. Should these “modified” seaweeds be destined for food or food products, then the necessity to label them as GMO (genetically modified organisms) sources would actually reduce their market acceptance, particularly in Europe and even increasingly in North America.

### Seaweed Aquaculture

The largest database reference for seaweed taxonomy (www.algaebase.org) currently has over 10,000 macroalgal species listed, the majority being seaweeds [43]. Despite the variety of life forms and the many thousands of seaweed species, seaweed aquaculture is presently based upon a relatively very small group of less than 100 species worldwide [7]. In fact, only five to seven genera alone (i.e., *Laminaria*/*Saccharina*, *Undaria*, *Porphyra*, *Eucheuma*/*Kappaphycus* and *Gracilaria*) account for about 83% of the world seaweed production (Table 2). The basic cultivation techniques of these genera are described in Yarish and Pereira [7] and Pereira and Yarish [44] and the references therein.

The use of seaweeds for food has strong roots in Asian countries such as China, Japan, and the Republic of Korea. For that reason, these are the primary areas where seaweed aquaculture was first developed and, furthermore, the species of seaweed most cultivated are the ones commonly found from those shores. Tseng [46] defined the commercial cultivation of

seaweeds as: “the large scale production of macroscopic marine algae for commercial purposes.” Doty [47] applied the term “marine agronomy” to define seaweed cultivation as a type of agricultural practice carried out in the sea.

Despite this analogy, marine agronomy is an activity in its infancy, when compared to the traditional terrestrial agronomy, with obvious differences when we compare the developmental status of both activities. While the origin of marine agronomy can be traced back to approximately 200 years ago, the birth of agriculture is still subject of debate among anthropologists but is thought to have happened approximately 10,000–12,000 years ago [48, 49]. In fact, the presently cultivated seaweeds were selected from local flora (i.e., from the wild) and limited “selection and breeding” techniques have been applied to develop domesticated strains, especially when compared to the efforts placed on staple terrestrial crops such as rice, potatoes, wheat, etc. For the latter, agronomic institutions have developed on various continents, which have specialized in their breeding, selection, and improvement, sometimes even using genetic manipulation.

In China, more than 200 years ago, the first methods to manage a seaweed crop, a species of the red marine alga *Porphyra*, consisted of simply cleaning rocky areas in early autumn. This was done just before the mass liberation of algal reproductive spores, so that they had more surface area for attachment and growth [50]. In Japan, a similar approach consisted of inserting bundles of bamboo twigs into sandy/muddy substrata before the spore release season. Net cultivation methods for mass production of “laver” were only introduced in the 1920s, resulting in some increase in productivity but still reliant on the collection of spores released from natural populations [46]. However, the substantive development of the aquaculture of this genus came with the description of the life cycle of *P. umbilicalis* by Katherine Drew (Baker), in 1949 [51]. Drew established that the filamentous red alga, “*Conchoceleis rosea*,” until then considered as a completely separate entity, was in fact the sporophyte phase of the life cycle *Porphyra*. This finding, together with subsequent research [51–54], allowed for the development of methods which could control the life cycle and also the artificial production and collection of spores. These were monumental findings allowing the

538 aquaculture of *Porphyra* to move into a completely  
539 different phase of technological developments. Modern  
540 commercial cultivation methods were established in  
541 the 1960s which led to the very pronounced expansion  
542 of cultivation activities and economic development.  
543 China is currently the largest global producer of  
544 *Porphyra*, with more than 800,000 t, fresh weight, pro-  
545 duced in 2008, followed by Japan – 337,000 t and the  
546 Republic of Korea – 224,000 t [45].

547 The brown, kelp species, *Saccharina japonica*  
548 (formerly known as *Laminaria japonica*), is presently  
549 the largest single species produced in aquaculture. It is  
550 grown as a monoculture and the volumes of produc-  
551 tion exceed any other marine species, including fish,  
552 crustacea, and molluscs. More than three million tons  
553 fresh weight (FW) are reported to be cultivated on  
554 ropes in open coastal waters. The cultivation of  
555 *Saccharina* was developed mainly during the second  
556 half of the twentieth century, initially using a stone  
557 planting technique. Since 1968, a method called  
558 “forced cultivation” led to a reduction of the previous  
559 2-year cycle of production to 1 year; the shift to modern  
560 methods allowed for a tremendous increase in produc-  
561 tivity and commercialization of the kelp and its prod-  
562 ucts [46, 55].

563 The commercial cultivation of all other seaweed  
564 species is even more recent than that of *Porphyra* and  
565 *Saccharina*. For instance, the cultivation of the red alga  
566 *Gracilaria* probably began as recently as 1967 in Taiwan  
567 [46]. Seaweed aquaculture or marine agronomy is,  
568 therefore, an activity still in its relative infancy, espe-  
569 cially when compared to traditional, terrestrial  
570 agriculture.

571 Despite being a recently developed activity, when  
572 compared with the traditional land agriculture sector,  
573 seaweed aquaculture has been developing steadily.  
574 According to the latest production data from FAO  
575 [45], in 2008, total seaweed aquaculture production  
576 was more than 15 million tons FW (fresh weight),  
577 valued at more than seven billion USD. This corre-  
578 sponds to 23% of the world’s aquaculture production  
579 and approximately 7% of its global value. Besides the  
580 undeniable economic value of the biomass, seaweed  
581 aquaculture is nowadays also increasingly recognized  
582 for the significant ecosystems services it provides,  
583 namely through its extractive process of nutrient  
584 removal [56–58]. Chopin et al. [59] argued that

585 evolving aquaculture practices will require  
586 a conceptual shift toward understanding the working  
587 of food production networks as opposed to simplistic  
588 and narrow focus on technological solutions. One of  
589 the innovative solutions promoted for environmental  
590 sustainability, as well as for economic stability and  
591 societal acceptability, is the system coined “IMTA” (or  
592 Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture), which will be  
593 discussed in more detail in the next section.

### 594 Introduction of the IMTA Concept

595 In western countries, an interest in integrated aquacul-  
596 ture began toward the end of the twentieth century.  
597 After the initial work of Ryther et al. [1], interest in  
598 using algae as nutrient scrubbers in an integrated aqua-  
599 culture system was renewed by a group of like-minded  
600 scientists including: Fujita et al. [60], Kautsky and  
601 Folke [61], Neori et al. [62], Krom et al. [63],  
602 Buschmann [64], Sphigel and Neori [65], Troell et al.  
603 [66], Chopin and Yarish [67], Neori and Shpigel [68],  
604 Yarish et al. [69], Chopin et al. [70] and Neori et al.  
605 [71], among others. In the last decade particularly,  
606 numerous papers continued to establish that the con-  
607 cept and implementation of Integrated Multi-Trophic  
608 Aquaculture (*sensu* [56, 71–73]) was and will increas-  
609 ingly be of paramount importance for the sustainable  
610 development of aquaculture. The advantages are not  
611 just important for a sustainable environment, as  
612 evidenced by Matos et al. [74], Msuya and Neori [75]  
613 and Abreu et al. [76], but also economic, as shown by  
614 Troell et al. [77], Whitmarsh et al. [78], Robertson-  
615 Andersson [79], Robertson-Andersson et al. [80], and  
616 Nobre et al. [58].

617 The over-riding principle is that in IMTA systems  
618 the “wastes” or by-products of animal (fed) aquacul-  
619 ture are used as nutrient source for growth and devel-  
620 opment of the other trophic component of the system,  
621 such as macroalgae or other extractive, filter or detrital  
622 feeding organisms (e.g., bivalves, sea cucumbers,  
623 marine worms). The practical result is an added pro-  
624 duction of biomass which may have a direct economic  
625 value in addition to the ecosystem services which are  
626 provided by the extractive organisms. At the same time,  
627 the concentric alignment of the trophic levels provides  
628 substantial reduction of the load of inorganic nutrients  
629 in the effluents from intensive aquaculture systems,



630 which in themselves can constitute a potential ecolog- 677  
631 ical problem leading to coastal eutrophication and 678  
632 harmful algal blooms (HABs).

633 Integrated mariculture has been practiced tradi- 679  
634 tionally, although not necessarily intentionally, in 680  
635 China, Japan, and South Korea, where farms of fish 681  
636 net pens, shellfish, and seaweed have been situated in 682  
637 close proximity to one another [71, 81]. The arrange- 683  
638 ments and ultimate optimal integration of the trophic 684  
639 elements were largely achieved through trial and error 685  
640 and, as a consequence, traditional information regard- 686  
641 ing quantification and design has seldom been 687  
642 published (e.g., [82–84]). Nevertheless, in Asian coun- 688  
643 tries, macroalgae are naturally considered as nutrient 689  
644 removers. For instance, the production of *S. japonica* 690  
645 (the Japanese kelp) was estimated as 4.765 million tons 691  
646 in 2008 [45]. Considering a very conservative 692  
647 N content of 2.79% DW (dry weight) and a wet to 693  
648 dry ratio of 5:1 [85], it can be estimated that approxi- 694  
649 mately 5.58 kg of N are removed from the water with 695  
650 every ton FW of *Saccharina* produced. Therefore, the 696  
651 annual production of *S. japonica* removed approxi- 697  
652 mately 26,588 metric tons of N from the surrounding 698  
653 seawater in 2008. In contrast, production of *Porphyra* 699  
654 and *Gracilaria*, while lower biomass volumes were pro- 700  
655 duced, their N tissue content can exceed 7% DW for 701  
656 *Porphyra* [86] and 8% DW for *Gracilaria* [76].

657 On a global scale, the aquaculture of extractive 702  
658 organisms (e.g., seaweeds and shellfish) already 703  
659 removes a significant fraction of nutrients from the 704  
660 oceans [87]. According to Troell et al. [88], the harvests 705  
661 of those organisms already extract roughly 150,000 706  
662 metric tons of N. However, as those authors also note, 707  
663 extractive and fed aquaculture are very often separated 708  
664 geographically, rarely balancing each other on 709  
665 a regional or local scale. An environmentally sustain- 710  
666 able, balanced integrated aquaculture operation creates 711  
667 a mini-ecosystem in which the plant autotrophy bal- 712  
668 ances the animal and microbial heterotrophy, not only 713  
669 in terms of nutrient removal (particularly C, N, and P) 714  
670 but also with respect to oxygen, pH, and carbon diox- 715  
671 ide [87, 89]. It is unfortunate that, to date, there are 716  
672 only a few demonstration IMTA systems, in part due to 717  
673 the seasonality of the extractive seaweeds and the lack 718  
674 of “seed-stock.” For instance, kelps for extraction of 719  
675 nutrients are only present for part of the cycle. The 720  
676 efficiency of dissolved nutrient removal will improve as

alternation of extractive crop species is more clearly 677  
understood and refined. 678

679 As mentioned in the previous section, 680  
681 seaweed production is presently also recognized 682  
683 for its “ecosystem services” (see <http://www.longisland-soundstudy.net/issues-actions/water-quality/nutrient-bioextraction/>). Among these ecosystems services, the 684  
685 bioextraction capacity (through which the removal of 686  
687 biomass removes nutrients from the ecosystem) can be 688  
689 key for urban waterways that are not degraded by 689  
690 industrial pollution or don’t have restrictions because 691  
692 they are away from sewage treatment facilities. How- 692  
693 ever, as pointed out by Chopin et al. [59], these ecosys- 693  
694 tems services [90] have an economic benefit that is 694  
695 often ignored both by the industry and the regulators. 695  
696 A recent book sponsored by the World Conservation 696  
697 Monitoring Centre, in its chapter about Marine Sys- 697  
698 tems, says very little about the role and direct economic 698  
699 value of algae in Marine Ecosystems [91]. Chopin et al. 699  
700 [59] argued that to improve the sustainability of 700  
701 anthropogenically derived nutrient-loading practices 701  
702 such as aquaculture, incentives such as nutrient trading 702  
703 credits (NTCs) are required. This would promote 703  
704 nutrient load reduction or nutrient recovery via 704  
705 a “polluter must pay” principle. The question can be 705  
706 posed that if carbon credits are now part of the inter- 706  
707 nalized costs of some industries, why can the same 707  
708 process not be applied to nitrogen (N) and phosphorus 708  
709 (P), released through fed aquaculture, or point source 709  
710 pollution in the coastal marine environment. 710  
711 Neglecting the release of such nutrients in the marine 711  
712 environment can have quite striking consequences 712  
713 such as recently, when N released was associated with 713  
714 eutrophication of coastal waters resulting in massive 714  
715 algal blooms or “green tides” (see [92]). Also P has been 715  
716 discussed as “the next chemical element in global short 716  
717 supply”; therefore, its recovery makes considerable eco- 717  
718 nomic and ecological sense [93]. The land-based culti- 718  
719 vation of seaweeds, particularly as part of an IMTA 719  
720 system, may also play an important role on the future 720  
721 recovery of these nutrient wastes. 721

722 A particularly interesting initiative, regarding the 722  
723 promotion of IMTA at a national level, was reported by 723  
724 the Australian government under the auspices of the 724  
725 Rural Industries Research and Development Corpora- 725  
726 tion (RIRDC; [94]). The objective of that report was to 726  
727 clearly identify the potential for seaweeds to be cultured 727

724 in Australia for domestic and export markets. The  
725 report identified an enormous potential for growth  
726 and development of this activity and defined that  
727 targeted markets should include the food and nutri-  
728 tional sectors. The associated health benefits of human  
729 consumption of a variety of seaweeds were also indi-  
730 cated in the report.

731 Following this initiative, the Australian RIRDC  
732 moved to support the formation of “Seaweed Austr-  
733 lia” – a new organization for the emerging cultivated  
734 seaweed industry in that country. Under that context,  
735 a new report was prepared [95] to assist all industry  
736 and research groups involved in the production,  
737 processing, and marketing of seaweed products in  
738 a broad range of industries including health and nutri-  
739 tion, aquaculture, animal feeds, nutraceuticals, and  
740 pharmaceuticals. The report is the result not just of  
741 desktop research but of a series of meetings, work-  
742 shops, and other forms of extensive consultation of  
743 the different stakeholders (for instance, industry and  
744 researchers). The conclusions include a clear identifi-  
745 cation of the priorities for the development of the  
746 cultivated seaweed industry in Australia in terms of:  
747 market focus; market research; higher value products;  
748 regulatory issues, and industry research.

#### 749 **Physiological Considerations for the Production** 750 **of Seaweeds in Land-Based Cultivation** 751 **Systems**

752 The physicochemical parameters that affect seaweed  
753 physiology in land-based systems are essentially the  
754 same as those that affect these organisms in natural  
755 populations and in open water aquaculture systems.  
756 Factors such as temperature, light and nutrient avail-  
757 ability, pH and salinity are always critical for seaweed  
758 growth. The work of Craigie and Shacklock in particu-  
759 lar was essential for the development of the cultivation  
760 of the red seaweed, Irish Moss (*C. crispus*) and that  
761 information is a primer for any land-based seaweed  
762 aquaculture facility [96]. These authors described the  
763 importance of appropriate site selection as a funda-  
764 mental requirement for the success of any aquaculture  
765 undertaking. Craigie and Shacklock [96] confirmed  
766 that seawater in the vicinity of the potential site must  
767 be of the highest quality, i.e., low sediment and partic-  
768 ulate matter, free of agricultural runoff and pollutants

769 from other activities such as industrial, mining, and  
770 urban sources. The authors also briefly presented the  
771 requirements of the target cultivated species in terms of  
772 temperature, pH, salinity, nutrient requirements (i.e.,  
773 carbon and nitrogen supply), seawater exchange, plant  
774 agitation, and interactions with other species. All of  
775 this information was the result of integrated basic  
776 research conducted for each one of those factors.

The traditional phycological literature, from the  
past 3–4 decades, contains considerable fundamental  
research on the physiology of seaweeds in general.  
However, this research was mainly conducted under  
laboratory conditions and much less practical work  
was undertaken in tank systems at scales relevant for  
economically viable commercial purposes. As with  
many other organisms, different algal species have  
different physiological requirements and optima [97].  
As pointed out by Troell et al. [88], after reviewing  
28 studies on various IMTA systems, where the major-  
ity included tank systems, there is a need to:

1. Understand in detail the important biological/  
biochemical processes in closed recirculating and  
open seaweed culture systems
2. Conduct research into these advanced aquaculture  
technologies at scales relevant to commercial  
implementation or suitable for extrapolation
3. Broaden the focus to include factors affecting sea-  
weed growth and uptake capacity
4. Improve experimental design for statistical  
calculations
5. Understand the temporal variability in seaweed-  
filtered mariculture systems
6. Define numerical design parameters critical for  
engineers in designing commercial recirculation  
systems with seaweed filters
7. Study the influences of location-specific param-  
eters, such as latitude, climate, and local seaweed  
strains/species, on seaweed filter performance
8. Include economic components, considering the  
added value of seaweeds, their products, and fea-  
sibility aspects
9. Analyze the role and function of integrated aqua-  
culture practices for improved environmental,  
economic, and social acceptability within the  
broader perspective of integrated coastal manage-  
ment initiatives

815 10. Develop educational, training, and financial  
816 incentive approaches to transfer these novel and  
817 somewhat complex technologies of integrated  
818 mariculture from the scientists to an industrial scale

819 Despite the potential benefits for seaweed aquacul-  
820 ture as part of land-based IMTA systems, little progress  
821 has been made during the most recent decades, in  
822 terms of solving the needs as raised by Troell et al.  
823 [88]. The only published and, therefore, known excep-  
824 tions are the work by Abreu et al. [76] taking into  
825 account an appropriate experimental design and scale  
826 (Figs. 1 and 2), and the research of Robertson-  
827 Anderson [79], Robertson-Anderson et al. [80] and  
828 Nobre et al. [58]. The differentiating factor is that  
829 Robertson-Anderson and Nobre et al. performed eco-  
830 nomic and ecological assessments of a commercial,  
831 abalone-seaweed farm in South Africa.

832 Another less commonly applied method of land-  
833 based seaweed production is that of spray cultivation.  
834 This method has not been tested extensively on many  
835 species, but *Ascophyllum nodosum* [98] and *Gracilaria*  
836 *chilensis* [99] have been grown in such systems  
837 with some success. In the spray method, the seaweeds  
838 are not fully immersed in seawater but instead are held  
839 in adequate containers (often inside a modified green-  
840 house) and seawater is sprayed continuously or peri-  
841 odically over the target seaweeds. As referred to  
842 by Msuya and Neori [75], the documented benefits  
843 of seaweed spray culture included: construction  
844 and pumping costs, temperature control, gas  
845 ( $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$ ) exchange, irradiance, nutrient uptake,  
846 and control of pests and epiphytes. Although the  
847 reported growth rates for these systems were usually  
848 low, Msuya and Neori [75] showed that the perfor-  
849 mance of *Ulva lactuca* in a spray system was in fact  
850 close to that of a standard, air-agitated tank culture  
851 system. In that work, *U. lactuca* was spray cultured in  
852 a “mattress-like layer,” held in air on slanted boards by  
853 plastic netting. Fish mariculture effluents were applied  
854 by being sprayed onto the algal mattresses. The growth  
855 rate, yield, and ammonia-N removal rates were  $11.8\%$   
856  $\text{day}^{-1}$ ,  $171 \text{ g fresh weight (FW) m}^2 \text{ day}^{-1}$ , and  $5 \text{ g}$   
857  $\text{N m}^2 \text{ day}^{-1}$ , respectively, by the spray cultured *U.*  
858 *lactuca*, and  $16.9\% \text{ day}^{-1}$ ,  $283 \text{ g fresh weight (FW) m}^2$   
859  $\text{day}^{-1}$ , and  $7 \text{ g N m}^2 \text{ day}^{-1}$ , respectively, by traditional  
860 tank, immersed cultivated materials.

## 861 **Examples of Successful On-Land Cultivation** 862 **Enterprises**

863 Acadian Seaplants Limited ([www.acadianseaplants.com](http://www.acadianseaplants.com)) is a Canadian company founded in 1981 and is  
864 probably the foremost example of an economically  
865 successful, land-based seaweed aquaculture enterprise.  
866 The company initially began with the collection of wild  
867 harvested *C. crispus* (Irish Moss) and progressed to the  
868 manual harvesting and processing of Rockweed  
869 (*Ascophyllum nodosum*). In particular, harvesting of  
870 the rockweed can be regarded as a positive case study  
871 for stewardship and successful, sustainable manage-  
872 ment of a wild resource (see [100, 101]). With respect  
873 to *C. crispus*, the company continues to successfully  
874 manage wild harvested materials in South West Nova  
875 Scotia and Prince Edward Island and also progressed to  
876 the land-based production of a specific strain of  
877 *Chondrus*.  
878

879 While the *Chondrus* cultivation enterprise was ini-  
880 tially planned to be a source of high-grade carrageenan,  
881 the production of *C. crispus* became more sophisticated  
882 to produce a value-added, salad product for the Japa-  
883 nese food market. Over the years, this enterprise has  
884 grown to become the world’s largest, land-based sea-  
885 weed cultivation system for the production of human  
886 food (Fig. 3). The production operation occupies  
887 a large site in south-western Nova Scotia, and the  
888 seaweeds are grown for the Asian food market and to  
889 conduct fundamental and applied research on seaweed  
890 extracts.

891 Spurred on by the needs created by design and  
892 construction of the land-based cultivation tanks and  
893 the challenges of cultivation of carragenophytes, the  
894 company was able to succeed and expand production  
895 facilities. The basis for this success was a strong R&D  
896 and market development strategies to diversify the  
897 company and its products. Presently, the company  
898 exports its diversified products to over 70 countries.  
899 More than 95% of its products are exported. According  
900 to the company managers, the cultivation division of  
901 the company has been gaining momentum and future  
902 plans include domestication of new species for addi-  
903 tional target markets, plus enhancements to the  
904 existing edible seaweed product line, new product for-  
905 mats, and additional colors (Fig. 4).

906 Another example of a land-based seaweed produc-  
907 tion company is the Sylter Algenfarm GmbH & Co.KG  
908 (SAF), founded in 2006 by the marine botanist, Prof.  
909 Dr. Klaus Lüning. He spent most of his professional life  
910 unraveling the complexities of the environmental and  
911 internal control of seaweed growth and reproduction  
912 [2]. SAF cultivates seaweeds in a land-based seaweed  
913 farm at the North Sea island of Sylt, using a seawater  
914 source flowing from the oyster tanks of Dittmeyer's  
915 Austern-Compagnie. The two main seaweed species  
916 cultivated by SAF are young sporophytes of the brown  
917 alga *S. latissima* (formerly known as *Laminaria*  
918 *saccharina*) harvested in May, at a blade length of  
919 approximately 0.8 m, for the human food sector  
920 (Fig. 5) and the red alga *P. palmata* for the cosmetics  
921 industry. The niche in the *Laminaria* market occupied  
922 by SAF is a result of an opportunity due to the fact that  
923 the iodine content of imported *Laminaria* (kombu)  
924 from the Far East or from France, with concentrations  
925 of 3,000–6,000 mg iodine/kg algal dry weight, is con-  
926 sidered too high for safe consumption. In contrast to  
927 the imported red alga *Porphyra* (nori) for European  
928 Sushi restaurants, which contains very little iodine,  
929 imported kombu from Asia cannot pass the German  
930 “veterinary barrier” for human food, while the “young  
931 *Laminaria*” produced by SAF contains only 600 mg  
932 iodine/kg algal dry weight, probably because of the  
933 young age of the thin blades: This has enabled SAF to  
934 occupy that niche market and gives *Laminaria* the  
935 position as an innovative, marine vegetable in German  
936 restaurants.

937 In order to meet the growing demands for “young  
938 *Laminaria*” in Germany, in 2008, SAF began  
939 a cooperation with two sea farms in the Northern Baltic  
940 Sea (Kattegat Sea area), where *S. latissima* is grown on  
941 ropes, in the sea, either together with blue mussels  
942 (*Mytilus edulis*), or fish (rainbow trout). Harvesting  
943 of the young, thin kelp blades in May secures the low  
944 iodine content. Moreover, this co-cultivation of kelp  
945 with marine animals provides a further example of  
946 integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA). For the  
947 fish cultivators in Denmark, this is important since the  
948 Danish state urges them to employ countermeasures  
949 against the uncontrolled release of ammonium, nitrate,  
950 and phosphate from the fish cages into coastal waters.  
951 The total N of 6% kelp dry weight assists the fish  
952 cultivators to demonstrate that for each ton of fresh

953 harvested kelp (dry weight is  $\approx 10\%$  of fresh weight),  
954 6 kg of N are removed from the system. This is yet  
955 another advantage of the local production of the kelp.  
956 Naturally, this ecosystem service (nutrient removal)  
957 would not be performed in the direct vicinity of Danish  
958 fish farms if the *Laminaria* or *Saccharina* was imported  
959 from Asia. On the other hand, for SAF, the harvested  
960 kelp biomass in the Kattegat provides the sufficient  
961 biomass for the German food market.

962 Another example, although not producing seaweeds  
963 directly for human food, is the Big Island Abalone Com-  
964 pany, in Hawaii. This company cultivates a proprietary  
965 strain of *Palmaria mollis* on a significant scale as feed  
966 for abalone cultivation. More details are available at  
967 their Web site ([www.bigislandabalone.com](http://www.bigislandabalone.com)).

### 968 Future Directions

969 Land-based cultivation of seaweeds reduces the pres-  
970 sure on wild harvest of seaplants, particularly those  
971 which are difficult to access in time or space or their  
972 harvest would be unsustainable and perhaps ecologi-  
973 cally damaging. Furthermore, land-based seaweed pro-  
974 duction allows for the evaluation of numerous species  
975 that, due to their size, morphology, and/or particular  
976 physiological needs might not necessarily be good can-  
977 didates for traditional, open water systems, such as  
978 those routinely used for *Porphyra*, kelp, and the major  
979 phycocolloid-producing seaweeds (i.e., *Kappaphycus*  
980 and *Eucheuma*). Another important feature of seaweed  
981 production in land-based systems is that they allow for  
982 much greater environmental and input controls than  
983 would ever be possible in open water seaweed aquacul-  
984 ture. Such high levels of control, or intensive produc-  
985 tion, is critical to provide the necessary traceability,  
986 security of supply, high-quality standards and safety,  
987 not just for human consumption as food but especially  
988 for nutraceutical and pharmacological applications.  
989 Furthermore, the control of some environmental  
990 parameters (as well as controlling growth and quality)  
991 can also be used to promote the expression of desirable  
992 characteristics of the seaweeds, such characteristics  
993 may be morphological or biochemical. Further advan-  
994 tages of land-based seaweed production are the possi-  
995 bilities to quarantine foreign species, if grown on land  
996 with approved effluent water treatment systems. This  
997 feature is definitely not possible in open water

998 cultivation systems, and can allow a land-based facility  
999 to work with more species than just those locally avail-  
1000 able. However, the environmental stewardship respon-  
1001 sibilities for the introduction of nonnative species  
1002 cannot be minimized nor lightly undertaken.

1003 One promising avenue of future research associated  
1004 with on-land cultivation of seaweeds would be to find  
1005 the most appropriate culture conditions that maximize  
1006 the production of particular, valuable biochemical con-  
1007 stituents. This would be important for the promotion  
1008 of a variety of seaweeds as functional foods or food-  
1009 ingredients. More research is required into the genetics  
1010 and responses of new target species which, in turn,  
1011 could provide insight to achieve the high level of con-  
1012 trol. Unlike terrestrial plants and even microalgae,  
1013 genetic transformation in seaweeds remains at a very  
1014 low level. The first fully sequenced genome of a seaweed  
1015 species are that of *P. umbilicalis*, *P. purpurea*, and  
1016 *Ectocarpus siliculosus* and were concluded only recently  
1017 ([40, 102]; the genome of *C. crispus* to follow shortly  
1018 thereafter). Not forgetting the huge potential that  
1019 remains in specific, selection of seaweed strains, the  
1020 genomic and molecular tools now available for sea-  
1021 weeds could provide further insights into algal physi-  
1022 ology and metabolism. Even taking into account the  
1023 caveat of lack of public acceptance of GMO food, just as  
1024 occurred with their terrestrial counterparts, this knowl-  
1025 edge might be used in seaweed research to improve  
1026 productivity, biofiltration efficiency, disease resistance,  
1027 and to direct metabolic pathways to produce higher  
1028 concentrations of desirable metabolites and secondary  
1029 compounds (these might even play a role in biofuel  
1030 production from seaweed feed stocks). Overall, these  
1031 activities and discoveries could contribute to an  
1032 improved market value of the target seaweeds, includ-  
1033 ing new insights into human nutrition.

1034 In addition, future research should focus on the  
1035 evaluation and selection of more seaweed species suit-  
1036 able for land-based aquaculture that may be used as the  
1037 extractive inorganic nutrient component in IMTA sys-  
1038 tems. In fact, we believe that IMTA systems will play an  
1039 important role in the overall development of land-  
1040 based seaweed production in the future. Several factors  
1041 may account for this:

1042 (a) The availability of water and its quality

(b) The size and morphological characteristics of sea- 1043  
weeds making them suitable candidates for tank 1044  
cultivation 1045

(c) The diversity of products derived from various 1046  
target species produced in the same system 1047

The water requirements of intensive animal aqua- 1048  
culture ensure a plentiful supply of water available for 1049  
seaweed cultivation. In terms of synergies and efficien- 1050  
cies, particularly in engineering, the use of the same 1051  
water stream allows for sharing of the energetic costs 1052  
associated with water pumping. On the other hand, 1053  
since water quality is also important for animal pro- 1054  
duction and health, the seaweed component should 1055  
have access to high-quality water, free of toxins, heavy 1056  
metals, and other pollutants. Finally, but equally very 1057  
important, and the essence of the IMTA concept, the 1058  
extractive, seaweed production system would have 1059  
access to nutrient-enriched seawater derived from 1060  
the animal (fed aquaculture) component of the system. 1061  
As an example, Burri [103] tested the inclusion of the 1062  
IMTA-produced red seaweed (*G. vermiculophylla*) in 1063  
a new form of vegetarian sausages for children. This 1064  
author verified that the chemical composition of the 1065  
seaweed chemical was below the permitted values for 1066  
children consumption in terms of mercury (Hg), lead 1067  
(Pb), and cadmium (Cd). Even when the initial wild 1068  
collected stocking biomass had metal values close to or 1069  
above the limits, these values decreased after 4 weeks in 1070  
the IMTA conditions. In conclusion, pollutant-free 1071  
seawater, nutrient-rich and at a free or shared cost, 1072  
would be available for the seaweed production system 1073  
when associated with an IMTA system. 1074

As mentioned previously, intensive, land-based sea- 1075  
weed production allows for exploitation of seaweeds 1076  
which are not necessarily suited to open water techni- 1077  
ques such as those applied to various kelps or 1078  
*Porphyra*. For obvious reasons, open water seaweed 1079  
production is not the most suited, for instance, for 1080  
free-floating techniques and vegetative propagation 1081  
for seaweed cultivation. The free-floating method of 1082  
tank or pond production can, however, be highly suited 1083  
to land-based systems, allowing for optimization of 1084  
water volume in the tanks as well as stocking density, 1085  
thereby ensuring access to light and nutrient supply. 1086  
Furthermore, on-land production methods are also 1087  
highly suited to seaweeds where vegetative, or clonal, 1088

1089 propagation is possible, in as much as the seaweed  
1090 production system guaranties the homogeneity of the  
1091 biomass (provided that the environmental conditions  
1092 do not overly influence it). This feature can also  
1093 decrease the operational costs associated with the pro-  
1094 duction of seaweeds with a complex life cycle.

1095 In terms of the production diversity, land-based  
1096 systems could be planned to include several indepen-  
1097 dent production units. Even considering the increase in  
1098 the operation costs, these production units, managed  
1099 independently from one other but sharing common  
1100 parts of the infrastructure, could have two main  
1101 advantages:

- 1102 1. Produce different species/varieties of seaweed
- 1103 2. Allow for an easier control of the environmental  
1104 parameters that can influence the quality of the  
1105 biomass

1106 Depending on the applications of the biomass, the  
1107 production units could be scaled to produce either  
1108 a few kilograms or a few tons per year instead of the  
1109 thousands of tons required for seaweed such as those  
1110 used as raw materials for polysaccharide extraction.  
1111 Such relatively small volumes might be more appropri-  
1112 ate for the biomass required for some direct human  
1113 consumption products or for application as ingredients  
1114 of functional foods and cosmetic products. In turn, this  
1115 smaller scale would allow a better control of production  
1116 parameters and the biomass can be tailored more  
1117 closely to the needs of the final consumer.

1118 In conclusion, land-based seaweed aquaculture can  
1119 provide for the production of biomass required for  
1120 human food and other advanced applications. Rather  
1121 than focusing on biomass production in the order of  
1122 thousands of tons, this form of seaweed production can  
1123 specialize in niche markets, which requires highly spe-  
1124 cific and tailored biomass. By doing this, land-based  
1125 seaweed production can contribute to the development  
1126 of more seaweed or seaweed-based products for human  
1127 food and health. Finally, when used as part of an IMTA  
1128 system approach, land-based seaweed production can  
1129 contribute to the sustainable development of intensive  
1130 fed aquaculture in an economical and ecological  
1131 perspective.

## Acknowledgments

1132

R. Pereira is sponsored by a fellowship from the Portu- 1133  
guese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) 1134  
through program POCI 2010, with the support of 1135  
FEDER and FSE(SFRH/BPD/36451/2007). Support to 1136  
C. Yarish was provided by the Connecticut Sea Grant 1137  
(DOC/NOAA/Ocean & Atmospheric Research 1138  
Contract No. NA10OAR4170095); the Department of 1139  
Energy's NETL Program, FOA# 0000015 to Gas 1140  
Technology Institute; NOAA-SBIR Phase I (Ocean 1141  
Approved, LLC, DOC/National Oceanic and Atmo- 1142  
spheric Administration Award/Contract #: AG100206); 1143  
and National Fish and Wildlife Foundation (contract 1144  
##24266). 1145

## Bibliography

1146

### Primary Literature

1147

1. Ryther JH, Goldman JC, Gifford JE, Huguenin JE, Wing AS, 1148  
Clarner JP, Williams LD, Lapointe BE (1975) Physical models 1149  
of integrated waste recycling marine polyculture systems. 1150  
*Aquaculture* 5:163-177 1151
2. Lüning K (1990) *Seaweeds: their environment, biogeography, 1152  
and ecophysiology*. Wiley, New York, p 527 1153
3. McHugh DJ (2003) *A guide to the seaweed industry*. FAO 1154  
*Fisheries Technical Paper* 441. FAO, Rome, Italy 1155
4. Bixler HJ, Porse H (2010) A decade of change in the seaweed 1156  
hydrocolloids industry. *J Appl Phycol*. doi:10.1007/s10811- 1157  
010-9529-3 1158
5. Graham L, Graham J, Wilcox L (2009) *Algae*, 2nd edn. Benjamin 1159  
Cummings (Pearson), San Francisco, p 720 1160
6. Levin SA, Lubchenco J (2008) Resilience, robustness, and 1161  
marine ecosystem-based management. *Biosciences* 1162  
58(1):27-32 1163
7. Yarish C, Pereira R (2008) Mass production of marine 1164  
macroalgae. In: Jørgensen SE, Fath BD (eds) *Ecological engi- 1165  
neering*, vol 3 of encyclopedia of ecology, 5 vols. Elsevier, 1166  
Oxford, pp 2236-2247 1167
8. Goh CS, Lee KT (2009) A visionary and conceptual macroalgae- 1168  
based third generation bioethanol (TGB) biorefinery in Sabah, 1169  
Malaysia as an underlay for renewable and sustainable devel- 1170  
opment. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev* 14:842-848 1171
9. Chung IK, Beardall J, Mehta S, Sahoo D, Stojkovic S (2010) 1172  
Using marine macroalgae for carbon sequestration: a critical 1173  
appraisal. *J Appl Phycol*. doi:10.1007/s10811-010-9604-9 1174
10. Kumar P (2010) Biofuels set for a sea-change. *Biospectrum* 1175  
November 2010:48-50 1176
11. Notoya M (2010) Production of biofuel by macroalgae with 1177  
preservation of marine resources and environment. In: Israel A, 1178  
Einav R, Seckbach J (eds) *Seaweeds and their role in globally 1179  
changing environments*, vol 15, Cellular origin, life in extreme 1180

- 1181 habitats and astrobiology. Springer Science+Business Media  
1182 BV, Dordrecht, pp 217–228
- 1183 12. Dillehay TD, Ramírez C, Pino M, Collins MB, Rossen J, Pino-  
1184 Navarro JD (2008) Monte Verde: seaweed, food, medicine, and  
1185 the peopling of South America. *Science* 320:784–786
- 1186 13. Erlandson JM, Graham MH, Bourque BJ, Corbett D, Estes JA,  
1187 Steneck RS (2007) The kelp highway hypothesis: marine ecol-  
1188 ogy, the coastal migration theory, and the peopling of the  
1189 Americas. *J Isl Coast Archaeol* 2:161–174
- 1190 14. Mumford TF, Miura A (1988) *Porphyra* as food: cultivation and  
1191 economics. In: Lemby CA, Walland JR (eds) *Algae and human*  
1192 *affairs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 87–117
- 1193 15. Abbott IA (1996a) Ethnobotany of seaweeds: clues to uses  
1194 of seaweeds. *International Seaweed Symposium XV*.  
1195 *Hydrobiologia* 326/327:15–20
- 1196 16. Abbott IA (1996) Limu: an ethnobotanical study of some  
1197 Hawaiian seaweeds, 4th edn. National Tropical Botanical  
1198 Garden, Lawai, p 39
- 1199 17. Konishi T (2008) Integrated functions of diet in anti-aging and  
1200 cancer prevention. Papers from the 4th international Niigata  
1201 symposium on diet and health, Niigata, Japan, 20–30 Nov  
1202 2008. *BioFactors* 34(4):261–342
- 1203 18. Parrot MD, Greenwood CE (2008) Dietary influences on cogni-  
1204 tive function with aging: from high-fat diets to healthful eat-  
1205 ing. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1114:389–397
- 1206 19. O’Sullivan L, Murphy B, McLoughlin P, Duggan P, Lawlor PG,  
1207 Hughes H, Gardiner GE (2010) Prebiotics from marine  
1208 macroalgae for human and animal health applications. *Mar*  
1209 *Drugs* 8:2038–2064. doi:10.3390/md8072038
- 1210 20. Plaza M, Cifuentes A, Ibañez E (2008) In the search of new  
1211 functional food ingredients from algae. *Trends Food Sci*  
1212 *Technol* 19:31–39
- 1213 21. Kumar CS, Ganesan P, Suresh SV, Bhaskar N (2008) Seaweeds  
1214 as a source of nutritionally beneficial compounds. *J Food Sci*  
1215 *Technol* 45(1):1–13
- 1216 22. Bocanegra A, Bastida S, Benedí J, Ródenas S, Sánchez-Muniz FJ  
1217 (2009) Characteristics and nutritional and cardiovascular-  
1218 health properties of seaweeds. *J Med Food* 12(2):236–258
- 1219 23. Noda H (1993) Health benefits and nutritional properties of  
1220 nori. *J Appl Phycol* 5:255–258
- 1221 24. Nisizawa K, Noda H, Kikuchi R, Watanabe T (1987) The main  
1222 seaweed foods in Japan. *Hydrobiologia* 151/152:5–29
- 1223 25. Tsuboyama-Kasaoka N, Shozawa C, Sano K, Kamei Y, Kasaoka S,  
1224 Hosokawa Y, Ezaki O (2006) Taurine (2-aminoethanesulfonic  
1225 acid) deficiency creates vicious circle promoting obesity. *Endo-*  
1226 *crinology* 147(7):3276–3284
- 1227 26. Burtin P (2003) Nutritional value of seaweeds. *Electron*  
1228 *J Environ Agric Food Chem* 2(4):498–503
- 1229 27. Holdt S, Kraan S (2011) Bioactive compounds in seaweed:  
1230 functional food applications and legislation. *J Appl Phycol*.  
1231 doi:10.1007/s10811-010-9632-5
- 1232 28. Cornish ML, Garbary DJ (2010) Antioxidants from macroalgae:  
1233 potential applications in human health and nutrition. *Algae*  
1234 25(4). doi:10.4490/algae.2010.25.4.000
29. Blouin N, Calder BL, Perkins B, Brawley SH (2006) Sensory and  
1235 fatty acid analysis of two Atlantic species of *Porphyra*. *J Appl*  
1236 *Phycol* 18:79–85 1237
30. Zhang Q, Li N, Zhou G, Lu X, Xu Z, Li Z (2003) In vivo antiox-  
1238 idant activity of polysaccharide fraction from *Porphyra*  
1239 *haitanensis* (Rhodophyta) in aging mice. *Pharmacol Res*  
1240 48:151–155 1241
31. Díaz-Rubio ME, Pérez-Jiménez J, Saura-Calixto F (2009) Dietary  
1242 fiber and antioxidant capacity in *Fucus vesiculosus* products.  
1243 *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 60(52):23–34 1244
32. Zhou HP, Chen QH (1990) Anticoagulant and antihyper-  
1245 lipidemic effects of polysaccharide from *Porphyra yezoensis*.  
1246 *J China Pharm Univ* 21:358–360 1247
33. Yashizawa Y, Ametani A, Tsunehiro J, Numera K, Itoh M, Fukui F,  
1248 Kaminogawa S (1995) Macrophage stimulation activity of the  
1249 polysaccharide fraction from a marine alga (*Porphyra*  
1250 *yezoensis*): structure-function relationships and improved sol-  
1251 ubility. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 59:1933–1937 1252
34. Saito M, Kawai M, Hagino H, Okada J, Yamamoto K, Hayashida M,  
1253 Ikeda T (2002) Antihypertensive effect of nori-peptides derived  
1254 from red alga *Porphyra yezoensis* in hypertensive patients. *Am*  
1255 *J Hypertens* 5(4, Part 2):210A (Published by Elsevier Science  
1256 Inc) 1257
35. Béress A, Wassermann O, Bruhn T, Béress L, Kraiselburd EN,  
1258 González LU, De Motta GE, Chávez PI (1993) A new procedure  
1259 for the isolation of anti-HIV compounds (polysaccharides and  
1260 polyphenols) from the marine alga *Fucus vesiculosus*. *J Nat*  
1261 *Prod* 56:478–488 1262
36. Aisa Y, Miyakawa Y, Nakazato T, Shibata H, Saito K, Ikeda Y,  
1263 Kizami M (2005) Fucooidan induces apoptosis of human HS-  
1264 sultan cells accompanied by activation of caspase-3 and  
1265 down-regulation of ERK pathways. *Am J Hematol* 78:7–14 1266
37. Teas J, Harbison ML, Gelman RS (1984) Dietary seaweed  
1267 (*Laminaria*) and mammary carcinogenesis in rats. *Cancer Res*  
1268 44:2758–2761 1269
38. Yang YJ, Nam SJ, Kong G, Kim MK (2010) A case-control study  
1270 on seaweed consumption and the risk of breast cancer.  
1271 *Br J Nutr* 103:1345–1353 1272
39. Sahoo D, Tang X, Yarish C (2002) *Porphyra* – the economic  
1273 seaweed as a new experimental system. *Curr Sci*  
1274 83(11):1313–1316 1275
40. Gantt E, Berg GM, Bhattacharya D, Blouin NA, Brodie JA,  
1276 Chan CX, Collén J, Cunningham FX Jr, Gross J, Grossman AR,  
1277 Karpowicz S, Kitade Y, Klein AS, Levine IA, Lin S, Lu S, Lynch M,  
1278 Minocha SC, Müller K, Neefus CD, de Oliveira CM, Rymarquis L,  
1279 Smith A, Stiller JW, Wu W-K, Yarish C, Zhuang YY, Brawley SH  
1280 (2010) *Porphyra*: complex life histories in a harsh environment.  
1281 *P. umbilicalis*, an intertidal red alga for genomic analysis. In:  
1282 Seckbach J, Chapman DJ (eds) *Red algae in the genomic age*,  
1283 vol 13, Cellular origin, life in extreme habitats and astrobiol-  
1284 ogy. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 129–148 1285
41. Grossman AR (2007) In the grip of algal genomics. In: León R,  
1286 Galvin A, Fernandez E (eds) *Transgenic microalgae as green*  
1287 *cell factories*. Landers bioscience Publishers and Springer Sci-  
1288 ence+Business Media, Austin, TX, pp 54–76 1289

- 1290 42. Grossman AR, Karpowicz SJ, Heinnickel M, Dewez D, Hamel B, 1345  
1291 Dent R, Niyogi KK, Johnson X, Alric J, Wollman F-A, Li H, 1346  
1292 Merchant SS (2010) Phylogenomic analysis of the 1347  
1293 *Chlamydomonas* genome unmasks proteins potentially 1348  
1294 involved in photosynthetic function and regulation. 1349  
1295 *Photosynth Res* 106:3–17
- 1296 43. Guiry MD, Guiry GM (2010) *AlgaeBase*. World-wide electronic 1350  
1297 publication. National University of Ireland, Galway, [http://](http://www.algaebase.org) 1351  
1298 [www.algaebase.org](http://www.algaebase.org); searched on 30 May 2010 1352
- 1299 44. Pereira R, Yarish C (2010) The role of *Porphyra* in sustainable 1353  
1300 culture systems: physiology and applications. In: Israel A, 1354  
1301 Einav R, Seckbach J (eds) *Seaweeds and their role in globally* 1355  
1302 *changing environments*, vol 15, Cellular Origin, Life in Extreme 1356  
1303 Habitats and Astrobiology. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 339–353. 1357  
1304 ISBN 978-90-481-8568-9
- 1305 45. FAO (2010) FAO fisheries and aquaculture information and 1358  
1306 statistics service (2010) *Aquaculture production 1950–2008*, 1359  
1307 Rome, Italy
- 1308 46. Tseng CK (1981) Commercial cultivation. In: Lobban CS, 1360  
1309 Wynne MJ (eds) *The biology of seaweeds*, vol 17, Botanical 1361  
1310 Monographs. Blackwell, Oxford, pp 680–725
- 1311 47. Doty MS (1977) *Eucheuma* – current marine agronomy. In: 1362  
1312 Krauss RW (ed) *The marine plant biomass of the Pacific North-* 1363  
1313 *west Coast. A potential economic resource*. Oregon State 1364  
1314 University Press, Corvallis, pp 203–214
- 1315 48. Houyuan L, Zhang J, Liu K, Wu N, Li Y, Zhou K, Ye M, Zhang T, 1365  
1316 Zhang H, Yang X, Shen L, Xu D, Li Q (2009) Earliest domesti- 1366  
1317 cation of common millet (*Panicum miliaceum*) in East Asia 1367  
1318 extended to 10, 000 years ago. *PNAS* 106(18):7367–7372
- 1319 49. Munro ND, Grosman L (2009) Early evidence (ca. 12,000 B.P.) 1368  
1320 for feasting at a burial cave in Israel. *PNAS* 107(35): 1369  
1321 15362–15366
- 1322 50. Tseng CK, Chang CF (1956) On China's *Porphyra* and its culti- 1370  
1323 vation. *Shengwuxue Tongbao* 3:29–33 Tseng CK (1981) Commer- 1371  
1324 cial cultivation. In: Lobban CS, Wynne MJ (eds) *The biology* 1372  
1325 *of seaweeds*. Botanical monographs, Vol 17. Blackwell Scien- 1373  
1326 tific Publications, University of California Press, Berkeley and 1374  
1327 Los Angeles, pp 680–725
- 1328 51. Drew KM (1949) *Conchocelis*-phase in the life history of 1375  
1329 *Porphyra umbilicalis* (L.) Kütz. *Nature* 164:748–749
- 1330 52. Kurogi M (1953) Study of the life-history of *Porphyra* I. The 1376  
1331 germination and development of carpospores. *Bull Tohoku* 1377  
1332 *Reg Fish Lab* 2:67–103
- 1333 53. Kurogi M (1953) On the liberation of monospores from the 1378  
1334 filamentous thallus (*Conchocelis*-stage) of *Porphyra tenera* 1379  
1335 Kjellm. *Bull Tohoku Reg Fish Lab* 2:104–108
- 1336 54. Kurogi M, Sato S (1962) Influence of light on the growth and 1380  
1337 maturation of *Conchocelis*-thallus of *Porphyra* II. Effect of 1381  
1338 different photoperiods on the growth and maturation of 1382  
1339 *Conchocelis*-thallus of *P. tenera* Kjellm. *Bull Tohoku Reg Fish* 1383  
1340 *Lab* 20:127–137
- 1341 55. Brinkhuis BH, Levine HG, Schlenk CG, Tobin S (1987) *Laminaria* 1384  
1342 cultivation in the far east and North America. In: Bird KT, 1385  
1343 Benson PH (eds) *Seaweed cultivation for renewable resources*. 1386  
1344 Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 107–146
56. Chopin T, Robinson SMC, Troell M, Neori A, Buschmann AH, 1387  
Fang J (2008) Multitrophic integration for sustainable marine 1388  
aquaculture. In: Sven EJ, Fath BD (eds) *Ecological engineering*, 1389  
vol 3 of encyclopedia of ecology, 5 vols. Elsevier, Oxford, 1390  
pp 2463–2475
57. Neori A (2008) Essential role of seaweed cultivation in inte- 1391  
grated multi-trophic aquaculture farms for global expansion 1392  
of mariculture: an analysis. *J Appl Phycol* 20:567–570 1393
58. Nobre AM, Robertson-Andersson D, Neori A, Sankar K (2010) 1394  
Ecological-economic assessment of aquaculture options: 1395  
comparison between abalone monoculture and integrated 1396  
multi-trophic aquaculture of abalone and seaweeds. *Aquacul-* 1397  
1398 *ture* 306:116–126
59. Chopin T, Troell M, Reid GK, Knowler D, Robinson SMC, Neori A, 1399  
Buschmann AH, Pang SJ (2010) Integrated multi-trophic aqua- 1400  
culture. Part I. Responsible practice provides diversified prod- 1401  
ucts, biomitigation. *Glob Aquac Advocate* September/October 1402  
2010:38–39
60. Fujita RM, Wheeler PA, Edwards RL (1989) Assessment of 1403  
macroalgal limitation in a seasonal upwelling region. *Mar* 1404  
1405 *Ecol Prog Ser* 53:293–303
61. Kautsky N, Folke C (1991) Integrating open system aquacul- 1406  
ture: ecological engineering for increased production and 1407  
environmental improvement through nutrient recycling. In: 1408  
Etnier C, Guterstam B (eds) *Ecological engineering for waste-* 1409  
1410 *water treatment*. Boksogren, Gothenburg, pp 320–334
62. Neori A, Cohen I, Gordin H (1991) *Ulva lactuca* biofilters for 1411  
marine fish-pond effluents. II. Growth rate, yield and C:N ratio. 1412  
*Bot Mar* 34:483–489
63. Krom MD, Ellner S, van Rijn J, Neori A (1995) Nitrogen 1413  
and phosphorus cycling and transformations in a prototype 1414  
“non-polluting” integrated mariculture system, Eilat, Israel. 1415  
*Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 118:25–36
64. Buschmann AH (1996) An introduction to integrated farming 1416  
and the use of seaweeds as biofilters. *Hydrobiologia* 326/ 1417  
327:59–60
65. Shpigel M, Neori A (1996) Abalone and seaweeds intensive 1418  
cultivation in integrated land-based mariculture system. I. 1419  
Proposed design and cost analyses. *Aquacult Eng* 15:313–326
66. Troell M, Halling C, Nilsson A, Buschmann AH, Kautsky N, 1420  
Kautsky L (1997) Integrated marine cultivation of *Gracilaria* 1421  
*chilensis* (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta) and salmon cages for 1422  
reduced environmental impact and increased economic out- 1423  
put. *Aquaculture* 156:45–61
67. Chopin T, Yarish C (1998) Nutrients or not nutrients? *World* 1424  
*Aquac Mag* 29:31–33, 60–61
68. Neori A, Shpigel M (1999) Using algae to treat effluents and 1425  
feed invertebrates in sustainable integrated mariculture. 1426  
*World Aquac* 30(2):46–51
69. Yarish C, Chopin T, Wilkes R, Mathieson AC, Fei XG, Lu S (1999) 1427  
Domestication of nori for Northeast America: the Asian expe- 1428  
rience. *Bull Aquacult Assoc Can* 99:11–17
70. Chopin T, Buschmann AH, Halling C, Troell M, Kautsky N, 1429  
Neori A, Kraemer GP, Zertuche-González JA, Yarish C, 1430



- 1399 Neefus C (2001) Integrating seaweeds into marine aquaculture  
1400 systems: a key towards sustainability. *J Phycol* 37:975–986
- 1401 71. Neori A, Chopin T, Troell M, Buschmann AH, Kraemer GP,  
1402 Halling C, Shpigel M, Yarish C (2004) Integrated aquaculture:  
1403 rationale, evolution and state of the art emphasizing seaweed  
1404 biofiltration in modern mariculture. *Aquaculture* 231:361–391
- 1405 72. Kraemer GP, Yarish C (1999) A preliminary comparison of the  
1406 mariculture potential of *Porphyra purpurea* and *Porphyra*  
1407 *umbilicalis*. *J Appl Phycol* 11:473–477
- 1408 73. Chopin T (2006) Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. What it  
1409 is and why you should care. *Northern Aquac* July/August  
1410 2006:4
- 1411 74. Matos J, Costa S, Rodrigues A, Pereira R, Sousa Pinto I (2006)  
1412 Experimental integrated aquaculture of fish and red seaweeds  
1413 in Northern Portugal. *Aquaculture* 252:31–42
- 1414 75. Msuya FE, Neori A (2010) The performance and chemical  
1415 composition of spray irrigated seaweed *Ulva lactuca* as  
1416 a crop and as a biofilter of fishpond effluents. *J Phycol*.  
1417 doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2010.00843.x
- 1418 76. Abreu MH, Pereira R, Yarish C, Buschmann AH, Sousa-Pinto I.  
1419 IMTA with *Gracilaria vermiculophylla*: productivity and nutri-  
1420 ent removal performance of the seaweed in a land-based  
1421 pilot-scale system. *Aquaculture* (in press). doi:10.1016/j.  
1422 aquaculture.2010.12.036
- 1423 77. Troell M, Robertson-Andersson D, Anderson RJ, Bolton JJ,  
1424 Maneveldt G, Halling C, Probyn T (2006) Abalone farming in  
1425 South Africa: an overview with perspectives on kelp resources,  
1426 abalone feed, potential for on-farm seaweed production and  
1427 socio-economic importance. *Aquaculture* 257:266–281
- 1428 78. Whitmarsh DJ, Cook EJ, Black KD (2006) Searching for sustain-  
1429 ability in aquaculture: an investigation into the economic  
1430 prospects for an integrated salmon-mussel production sys-  
1431 tem. *Mar Policy* 30:293–298
- 1432 79. Robertson-Andersson DV (2007) Biological and economical  
1433 feasibility studies of using *Ulva lactuca* (Chlorophyta) in  
1434 recirculating systems in abalone farming. Doctoral disserta-  
1435 tion, University of Cape Town, South Africa
- 1436 80. Robertson-Andersson DV, Potgieter M, Hansen J, Bolton JJ,  
1437 Troell M, Anderson RJ, Halling C, Probyn T (2008) Integrated  
1438 seaweed cultivation on an abalone farm in South Africa. *J Appl*  
1439 *Phycol* 20:579–595
- 1440 81. Rawson MV, Chen C, Ji R, Zhu M, Wang A, Wang L, Yarish C,  
1441 Sullivan JB, Chopin T, Carmona R (2002) Understanding the  
1442 interaction of extractive and fed aquaculture using ecosystem  
1443 modelling. In: Stickney RR, McVey JP (eds) *Responsible marine*  
1444 *aquaculture*. CABI, London, pp 263–296
- 1445 82. Fang J, Kuang S, Sun H, Li F, Zhang A, Wang X, Tang T (1996)  
1446 Status and optimising measurements for the culture of scallop  
1447 *Chlamys farreri* and kelp *Laminaria japonica* in Sanggou Bay.  
1448 *Mar Fish Res* (Haiyang Shuichan Yanjiu) 17:95–102 (in Chinese)
- 1449 83. Sohn CH (1996) Historical review on seaweed cultivation of  
1450 Korea. *Algae* 11:357–364
- 1451 84. Fei XG (2004) Solving the coastal eutrophication problem by  
1452 large scale seaweed cultivation. *Hydrobiologia* 512:145–151
85. Egan B, Yarish C (1990) Productivity and life history of *Lami-* 1453  
*naria ongicuris* at its southern limit in the western Atlantic 1454  
*Ocean. Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 67(3):263–273 1455
86. Chopin T, Yarish C, Wilkes R, Belyea E, Lu S, Mathieson A (1999) 1456  
Developing *Porphyra*/salmon integrated aquaculture for bio- 1457  
remediation and diversification of the aquaculture industry. 1458  
*J Appl Phycol* 11:463–472 1459
87. McVey JP, Stickney RR, Yarish C, Chopin T (2002) Aquatic 1460  
polyculture and balanced ecosystem management: new par- 1461  
adigms for seafood production. In: Stickney RR, McVey JP 1462  
(eds) *Responsible marine aquaculture*. CABI, London, pp 91– 1463  
104 1464
88. Troell M, Halling A, Neori A, Buschmann A, Kautsky N, Yarish C 1465  
(2003) Integrated mariculture: asking the right questions. 1466  
*Aquaculture* 226:69–90 1467
89. Rai LC, Kumar HD, Mohn FH, Soeder CJ (2000) Services of 1468  
algae to the environment. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 10:119– 1469  
136 1470
90. Lubchenco J, Petes LW (2010) The interconnected biosphere: 1471  
science at the ocean’s tipping points. *Oceanography* 1472  
23(2):115–129 1473
91. Ash N, Blanco H, Brown C, Garcia K, Tomich T, Vira B, Zurek M 1474  
(eds) (2010) *Ecosystems and human well-being: a manual for* 1475  
*assessment practitioners*. Island Press, Washington, DC, p 288 1476
92. Pang SJ, Liu F, Shan TF, Xu N, Zhang ZH, Gao SQ, Chopin T, 1477  
Sun S (2010) Tracking the algal origin of the *Ulva* bloom in the 1478  
Yellow Sea by a combination of molecular, morphological 1479  
and physiological analyses. *Mar Environ Res* 69:207–215 1480
93. Vaccari DA (2009) Phosphorus: a looming crisis. *Sci Am* 1481  
300(6):54–59 1482
94. Winberg P, Ghosh D, Tapsell L (2009) Seaweed culture in 1483  
integrated multi-trophic aquaculture: nutritional benefits 1484  
and systems for Australia. Rural Industries Research and 1485  
Development Corporation, RIRDC Publication No 09/005 1486
95. Lee B (2010) Cultivated seaweed and seaweed industry 1487  
development in Australia. Rural Industries Research and 1488  
Development Corporation – RIRDC. Publication No. 10/164, 1489  
Project No. PRJ-004681, p 39. ISBN 978-1-74254-131-0 1490
96. Craigie JS, Shacklock PF (1995) Culture of Irish Moss. In: 1491  
Boghen AD (ed) *Cold water aquaculture in Atlantic Canada*. 1492  
The Canadian Institute for Research on Regional Develop- 1493  
ment, Moncton, pp 365–390 1494
97. Lobban CS, Harrison PJ (1994) Seaweed ecology and physi- 1495  
ology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 366 1496
98. Rheault RB, Ryther JH (1983) Growth, yield and morphology 1497  
of *Ascophyllum nodosum* (Phaeophyta) under continuous 1498  
and intermittent seawater spray culture regimes. *J Phycol* 1499  
19:252–254 1500
99. Pickering TD, Gordon ME, Tong LJ (1995) A preliminary trial of 1501  
a spray culture technique from growing the agarophyte 1502  
*Gracilaria chilensis* (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta). *Aquaculture* 1503  
130:43–49 1504
100. Ugarte RA, Craigie JS, Critchley AT (2010) Furoid flora of the 1505  
rocky intertidal of the Canadian Maritimes: implications for 1506  
the future with rapid climate change. In: Israel A, Einav R, 1507

- 1508 Seckbach J (eds) Seaweeds and their role in globally chang- 1547  
1509 ing environments, vol 15, Cellular Origin, Life in Extreme 1548  
1510 Habitats and Astrobiology. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 73–90. 1549  
1511 ISBN 978-90-481-8568-9 1550
- 1512 101. Ugarte R (2010) An evaluation of the mortality of the brown 1551  
1513 seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum* (L.) Le Jol. produced by cut- 1552  
1514 ter rake harvests in southern New Brunswick, Canada. *J Appl* 1553  
1515 *Phycol.* doi:10.1007/s10811-010-9574-y 1554
- 1516 102. Cock MJ, Sterck L, Rouz e P, Scornet D, Allen AE, Amoutzias G, 1555  
1517 Anthouard V, Artiguenave F, Aury J-M, Badger JH, Beszteri B, 1556  
1518 Billiau K, Bonnet E, Bothwell JH, Bowler C, Boyen C, Brownlee 1557  
1519 C, Carrano CJ, Charrier B, Cho GY, Coelho SM, Coll en J, Corre 1558  
1520 E, Da Silva C, Delage L, Delaroque N, Dittami SM, Doubeau S, 1559  
1521 Elias M, Farnham G, Gachon CMM, Gschloessl B, Heesch S, 1560  
1522 Jabbari K, Jubin C, Kawai H, Kimura K, Kloareg B, K pper FC, 1561  
1523 Lang D, Le Bail A, Leblanc C, Lerouge P, Lohr M, Lopez PJ, 1562  
1524 Martens C, Maumus F, Michel G, Miranda-Saavedra D, Morales 1563  
1525 J, Moreau H, Motomura T, Nagasato C, Napoli CA, Nelson DR, 1564  
1526 Nyvall-Coll en P, Peters AF, Pommier C, Potin P, Poulain J, 1565  
1527 Quesneville H, Read B, Rensing SA, Ritter A, Rousvoal S, 1566  
1528 Samanta M, Samson S, Schroeder DC, S gurens B, 1567  
1529 Strittmatter M, Tonon T, Tregear JW, Valentin K, von Dassow 1568  
1530 P, Yamagishi T, Van de Peer Y, Wincker P (2010) The 1569  
1531 *Ectocarpus* genome and the independent evolution of 1570  
1532 multicellularity in brown algae. *Nature* 465:617–621 1571
- 1533 103. Burri S (2009) Concep o de um alimento funcional   base de 1572  
1534 legumes e algas para consumo infantil. Master thesis, 1573  
1535 Universidade Cat lica Portuguesa. Escola Superior de 1574  
1536 Biotecnologia. Porto, Portugal, p 83 (in portuguese) 1575
- 1537 **Books and Reviews**
- 1538 Barrington K, Chopin T, Robinson S (2009) Integrated multi-trophic 1576  
1539 aquaculture (IMTA) in marine temperate waters. In: Soto D (ed) 1577  
1540 Integrated aquaculture: a global review. FAO Fisheries and 1578  
1541 Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 529. FAO, Rome, pp 7–46 1579
- 1542 Buschmann AH, Hern andez-Gonz lez MC, Aranda C, Chopin T, 1580  
1543 Neori A, Halling C, Troell M (2008) Mariculture waste manage- 1581  
1544 ment. In: J rgensen SE, Fath BD (eds) Ecological engineering, 1582  
1545 vol 3 of encyclopedia of ecology, 5 vols. Elsevier, Oxford, 1583  
1546 pp 2211–2217 1584
- Critchley AT, Ohno M (eds) (1997/2001). Interactive, computer- 1547  
based, CD-ROM “Cultivation and farming of marine plants”. 1548  
Expert Centre for Taxonomic Identification (ETI), University of 1549  
Amsterdam Netherlands. ISBN: 90-75000-31-6, [http://www.eti.](http://www.eti.uva.nl) 1550  
[uva.nl](http://www.eti.uva.nl) 1551
- Critchley AT, Ohno M, Largo D (2006) World seaweed resources: 1552  
an authoritative reference system, A multimedia, interactive 1553  
DVD ROM. ETI Bioinformatics Publishers, Univ, Amsterdam. 1554  
ISBN: 90-75000-80-4 1555
- Fei XG, Lu S, Bao Y, Wilkes R, Yarish C (1998) Seaweed cultivation in 1556  
China. *World Aquac* 29(4):22–24 1557
- Fleurence J (1999) Seaweed proteins: biochemical, nutritional 1558  
aspects and potential uses. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 10:25–28 1559
- Friedlander M (2008) Israeli R&D activities in seaweed cultivation. 1560  
*Isr J Plant Sci* 56:15–28 1561
- He P, Xu S, Zhang H, Wen S, Dai Y, Lin S, Yarish C (2008) Bioreme- 1562  
diation efficiency in the removal of dissolved inorganic nutri- 1563  
ents by the red seaweed, *Porphyra yezoensis*, cultivated in the 1564  
open sea. *Water Res* 42:1281–1289 1565
- Lembi CA, Waaland R Jr (eds) (1988) Algae and human affairs. 1566  
Cambridge University Press, London, p 590 1567
- Nisizawa L (2002) Seaweeds Kaiso: bountiful harvests from the sea. 1568  
Japan Seaweed Association, Kochi, p 106 1569
- Phang SW, Critchley AT, Ang PO (eds) (2006) Advances in seaweed 1570  
cultivation and utilisation in Asia. University of Malaya Mari- 1571  
time Research Centre, Kuala Lumpur, p 177 1572
- Sahoo D, Yarish C (2005) Mariculture of seaweeds. In: Andersen R 1573  
(ed) Phycological methods: algal culturing techniques, 1574  
chapter 15. Academic, New York, pp 219–237 1575
- Seckbach J, Chapman DJ (eds) (2010) Red algae in the genomic 1576  
age, vol 13, Cellular origin, life in extreme habitats and astro- 1577  
biology. Springer, New York, p 486 1578
- Sun S, Wang F, Li CL, Qin S, Zhou MJ, Ding LP, Pang SJ, Duan DL, 1579  
Wang GC, Yin BS, Yu RC, Jiang P, Liu ZL, Zhang GT, Fei XG, 1580  
Zhou M (2008) Emerging challenges: massive green algal 1581  
blooms in the Yellow Sea. *Nature Precedings* hdl:10101/ 1582  
npre.2008.2266.1 1583
- Titlyanov EA, Titlyanova TV (2010) Seaweed cultivation: methods 1584  
and problems. *Russ J Mar Biol* 36:227–242 1585
- Yuan YV, Bone DE, Carrington MF (2005) Antioxidant activity of 1586  
dulse (*Palmaria palmata*) extract evaluated *in vitro*. *Food* 1587  
*Chem* 91:485–494 1588

t1.1 **Seaweed Aquaculture for Human Foods, Land-Based. Table 1** Some examples of seaweeds with their functional ingredients and possible effects on human health (Adapted from Plaza et al. [20]; Kumar et al. [21], Bocanegra et al. [22])

Seaweed	Functional ingredient	Possible health benefits
t1.2 <i>Sargassum vulgare</i> (B)	Alginic acid, xylofucans	Antiviral activity
t1.3 <i>Himanthalia elongata</i> (B)	PUFAs	Reduce risk of certain heart diseases
t1.4	$\alpha$ -Tocopherol	Antioxidant activity
t1.5	Sterols	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.6	Soluble fiber	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.7	PUFAs	Reduce risk of certain heart diseases
t1.8 <i>U. pinnatifida</i> (B)	Sterols	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.9	Soluble fiber	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.10	Folates	Reduce risk of certain types of cancer
t1.11	Sulfated polysaccharides	Antiviral activity
t1.12	Fucoxanthin	Preventive effect on cerebrovascular diseases; Increase the metabolism
t1.13	PUFAs	Reduce risk of certain heart diseases
t1.14 <i>Porphyra</i> spp. (R)	Sterols	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.15	Soluble fiber	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.16	PUFAs (n-3) fatty acids	Reduce risk of certain heart diseases
t1.17 <i>C. crispus</i> (B)	Sterols	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.18	Soluble fiber	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.19	Terpenes	Valuable curative properties
t1.20 <i>Cystoseira</i> spp. (B)	Sterols	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.21	Sulfated polysaccharides	Regulate the bioactivity of growth factors and cytokines
t1.22	Sterols	Reduce total and LDL cholesterol
t1.23 <i>Ulva</i> spp. (G)	"methanolic extract"	Antioxidant activity
t1.24 <i>Grateloupia filicina</i> (R)	Phlorotannins	Detoxification of heavy metals; antibacterial effects
t1.25	Fucoxanthin	Anti-inflammatory, anticoagulant
t1.26	Fatty acid profile ( $\omega$ -3)	Increase HDL cholesterol
t1.27 <i>Colpomenia sinuosa</i> (B)	Fatty acid profile ( $\omega$ -3)	Decreased LDL cholesterol
t1.28 <i>Hypnea charoides</i> (R)	Sodium-binding fiber	Antihypertensive effects
t1.29 <i>A. nodosum</i> (B)		

t1.30 R red, B brown, G green seaweed

t2.1 **Seaweed Aquaculture for Human Foods, Land-Based. Table 2** Main seaweed aquaculture production and value in 2008, according to FAO 2010 [45]

t2.2 Genera	Production (metric tons)	Value	
		(*1,000 USD)	USD/ton
t2.3 <i>Laminaria (=Saccharina)</i>	4,765,076	2,835,558	595
t2.4 <i>Euclidean/Kappaphycus</i>	3,551,273	563,146	159
t2.5 <i>Undaria</i>	1,755,913	749,213	427
t2.6 <i>Porphyra</i>	1,389,360	1,345,414	968
t2.7 <i>Gracilaria</i>	1,418,986	600,223	423
t2.8 Others	2,661,054	1,262,018	474



**Seaweed Aquaculture for Human Foods, Land-Based. Figure 1**  
 Aspect of the seaweed tank system as part of a pilot scale IMTA in a land-based intensive fish aquaculture. A. Coelho e Castro Lda, Póvoa de Varzim, Portugal



**Seaweed Aquaculture for Human Foods, Land-Based. Figure 2**  
 Detail of a seaweed production tank (1,200 L) as part of a pilot scale IMTA system in a land-based intensive fish aquaculture. A. Coelho e Castro Lda, Póvoa de Varzim, Portugal



**Seaweed Aquaculture for Human Foods, Land-Based. Figure 3**  
Aerial view of Acadian Seaplants Limited seaweed production facilities, south-western Nova Scotia (2010) (Photo courtesy of ASL)



**Seaweed Aquaculture for Human Foods, Land-Based. Figure 4**  
Hana Tsunomata™ (*C. crispus*) commercial product from Acadian Seaplants Limited. Hana = “flower”; Tsunomata = *Chondrus* (Photo courtesy of ASL)



**Seaweed Aquaculture for Human Foods, Land-Based. Figure 5**  
*S. latissima* seeded by Sylter Algenfarm on 8-mm diameter rope, grown out to harvesting size by Danish cooperor Rasmus Bjerregaard, holding up the harvested kelp (Photo, courtesy of Dr. Klaus Lüning)